


CTI PRIORITY ACTIONS AND COORDINATION WORKSHOP 2010-2011

Jakarta, Indonesia, May 17-19, 2010



USAID | **ASIA**
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE



CTI PRIORITY ACTIONS AND COORDINATION WORKSHOP 2010-2011

Jakarta, Indonesia, May 17-19, 2010

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The *CTI Regional Priority Action and Coordination Workshop 2010-2011* launched collective planning for collective implementation of regional actions identified by the *CTI Regional Plan of Action* (RPOA), endorsed by the leaders of the six Coral Triangle countries (Indonesia, Malaysia, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Solomon Islands, and Timor-Leste) at the CTI Summit in Manado in May 2009. The workshop resulted in an improved sense of partnership across the country governments and partners, nine priority actions from the regional plan to initiate collaborative activities, and implementation activities for those nine actions with teams, funding streams, and next steps identified. Significant focus and progress from the six countries in developing their *CTI National Plans of Action* and institutional development of the CTI has absorbed most of the past year. This event was the first opportunity for the six countries and their partners to convene for coordination and planning on the substantive content of the CTI and implementation of the RPOA.

Workshop Background

The workshop was held from May 17-19, 2010 in Jakarta, Indonesia. Hosted by the CTI interim Regional Secretariat and the Government of Indonesia with support from the US CTI Support Program and Conservation International, the meeting was mandated by the CTI Ministerial Meeting held in Gizo, Solomon Islands in November 2009 to initiate collective and regional activities towards achieving the ambitious goals of the Regional Plan of Action.

The purpose of the workshop was to prepare coordinated activities at the regional level that address the prioritized actions from the CTI Regional Plan of Action for 2010-2011 as early actions with pilot mechanisms while the formal structure of the CTI was being established. As the first work planning milestone, the workshop was structured simply and informally to allow innovation, adaptive management, and goal-oriented cooperation to fuel the process, using small roundtable discussions and breakout groups by RPOA Goal.

Approximately 60 participants from 10 countries worked in teams (consisting of country representatives, government and non-government partners, technical advisors, and observers) defined by the five thematic goals of the RPOA (Seascapes; Ecosystem Approach to Fisheries Management (EAFM); Marine Protected Areas (MPA); Climate Change Adaptation (CCA); and Threatened Species). Goal teams identified one or two priority actions for each goal under the RPOA and a sequence of activities to implement the selected action. Cross-cutting themes were also reviewed in this context. For each priority action, goal teams identified the key activities needed to achieve each action, a multi-stakeholder implementation team with some roles defined, a funding stream, and immediate next steps.

Workshop Outputs

Outputs included:

- Nine identified priority actions from the RPOA for initial implementation,
- Activities and implementing teams with funding streams, and initial steps identified for each of the nine priority actions,
- Proposed agenda and plans for the 6th Senior Officials Meeting (SOM) to be held in Indonesia in July or September 2010, and
- Initial contents of the First Annual CTI Report.

Priority Actions Recommended

The workshop identified nine priority actions from the RPOA that require collective action(s), or that generate economies of scale that warrant collective or parallel actions. These include:

- Goal 1 (Seascapes), Target 2 (Marine and coastal resources within all “Priority Seascapes” are being sustainably managed), Action 1: **Adopt a general “model” for sustainable management of seascapes.**
- Goal 2 (EAFM), Target 1 (Strong legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)), Action 1: **Collaborate to develop a “common regional framework for legislation and policy” that would**

support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies and regulations.

- Goal 2 (EAFM), Target 1, Action 2: **Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration.**
- Goal 3 (MPA), Target 1 (Region-wide Coral Triangle MPA System (CTMPAS) in place and fully functional), Action 1: **Jointly establish overall goals, objectives, principles, and operational design elements for a CTMPAS centered around priority MPA networks.**
- Goal 3 (MPA), Target 1 (Region-wide Coral Triangle MPA System (CTMPAS) in place and fully functional), Action 3: **Build capacity for effective management of the CTMPAS.**
- Goal 4 (CCA), Target 1 (Region-wide Early Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation for the near-shore marine and coastal environment and small island ecosystems developed and implemented), Action 1: **Identify the most important and immediate adaptation measures that should be taken across all Coral Triangle countries, based primarily on analyses using existing models.**
- Goal 4 (CCA), Target 1, Action 3 and 4 (blended): Complete and implement a Region-wide Early Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation and Conduct capacity needs assessments and develop capacity programs on climate change adaptation measures
- Goal 5 (Threatened Species), Target 1 (Improved status of sharks, sea turtles, seabirds, marine mammals, coral, seagrass, mangroves and other identified threatened species), Action 3: **Complete and implement region-wide Sea Turtles Conservation Action Plan.**
- Goal 5 (Threatened Species), Target 1, Action 5: **Complete and implement region-wide Marine Mammals Conservation Action Plan.**

Implementation Activity Plans for Priority Actions

A table that more clearly defines the specific actions and planned activities for implementing them is attached below. This table will be refined soon with verification from the CT6 countries and the first meetings of the activity teams, which consist of CT6 focal points and partners. The table is separated by goal, defines each selected target, priority action, and activities under each action, with a proposed schedule, implementing and resource teams, and responsible party for the next step.

Participants reviewed a set of crosscutting themes and used them to facilitate potential coordination among various organizations. The themes sometimes offered new ways to contribute or participate in the CTI implementation. The workshop identified capacity building and knowledge management as the two most common themes among the regional priority actions. Participants recommended SOM to establish a working group with the CT6 and partners on capacity building that could facilitate development of a stronger foundation among the CT6 countries towards becoming more self-reliant.

Responsible Group and Implementation Mechanism for Next Steps

Participants developed a general and common understanding on how each priority action will be implemented. However, the workshop did not address whether the priorities identified precluded collective effort on other actions not prioritized; nor did it address whether all six countries had any obligation or commitment to participate in all the actions that were identified. Such decisions might be forwarded to the SOM for further deliberation as needed. The workshop outcomes were reached with the understanding that an agreement for immediate collaboration on a set of limited priority actions would catalyze and create much-needed progress to address the goals of the RPOA one year after the CTI Summit. As a key next step, the workshop requested the Regional Secretariat to convey the outputs of the workshop to the National Coordinating Committees and governments of the CT6, and to request focal points for the five goals from the CT6 and partners for continued collective planning and implementation of the activities.

A framework outlining the structure and relationship among the CT6 Countries, the Regional Secretariat, and the partners was presented and approved by the Senior Officials in Gizo, Solomon Islands in November 2009. This workshop focused on immediate next steps required to implement the set of activities related to each priority action. These immediate next steps are indicated in each of the first activities of each action (see **Table 2**).

Resources

Resources to implement the priority actions were identified by the participants in each goal thematic group. In addition to their national contributions, the CT6 delegates welcomed the assistance and support from the Regional Secretariat and partners to implement the planned activities. The partners that confirmed their support for specific collectively planned activities are Australia, USA, ADB-GEF, UNDP, CI, TNC, and WWF (the last three with other funds outside of the USAID-funded NGO consortium known as the Coral Triangle Support Partnership (CTSP)). Partners stated their eagerness to learn from the CT6 of the identification of counterparts and the initial action of the identified responsible partners for the next steps, so that they could start or continue the implementation of the activities together.

Proposed Agenda for the 6th Senior Officials Meeting (SOM)

In addition to the usual recurring topics on the progress of the CTI for the SOM to consider, the workshop recommended additional agenda items for the 6th SOM that include:

- Results of this workshop, CTI Regional Priority Actions and Coordination Workshop 2010-2011;
- Recognition of activities;
- Consideration of a Capacity Building Working Group;
- Country updates; and
- New membership to CTI (both countries and partners).

The First Annual CTI Report

The workshop recommended the drafting of the First Annual CTI Report, and that it should cover a time period from May 2009 (the CTI Summit) through the end of the calendar year of 2010. The report should include:

- Implementation Status of the CTI Regional Plan of Action;
- Implementation Status of the National Plans of Action;
- Lessons Learned/Challenges for the CTI; and
- Future Activities.

Concluding Remarks

Once lead countries and focal points from each CT6 country are confirmed for the above-mentioned regional priority actions, participants expect that the upcoming Senior Officials Meeting will discuss and recognize those actions as the official CTI Regional Actions for 2010-2011. Follow-up discussions among the CT6 countries, Regional Secretariat, and partners are needed to ensure development of more detailed plans, their implementation, and reporting.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The interim CTI Regional Secretariat wishes to thank the six Coral Triangle countries for their efforts and commitment to this event, including the initiation of CT6 self-support for travel to the Roadmap meeting, as agreed at the 2nd Ministerial Meeting in Solomon Islands in November 2009. The interim Secretariat would like to acknowledge Dr. Darmawan, Coordinator for the Regional Secretariat, who managed the overall event. In addition, the Secretariat would like to thank the US CTI Support Program for supporting the meeting costs, some supplemental travel costs, and for the services of Dr. Stacey Tighe as a member of the workshop planning team. The Secretariat would also like to thank and acknowledge the support of Conservation International for the services of Dr. Fedi Sondita as the team leader for the workshop planning team. The Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries of the Republic of Indonesia, The Nature Conservancy provided additional operational support in terms of staff and materials.

CONTENTS

Executive Summary	iii
Acknowledgements	v
List of Acronyms	1
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	2
1.2 Objectives	2
1.3 Workshop References	2
1.4 Description of the Workshop Process	3
1.5 Participants	3
1.6 Roles and Functions	4
2.0 Sessions	4
Session 1.1: Opening and Workshop Orientation	4
Session 1.2: Coordinated Planning on Regional Activities by CTI Goal – Results from Pre-workshop Inputs	5
Session 1.3: Prioritizing Regional Actions by Five CTI Goals	6
Session 1.4: Report and Discussion on Prioritized Actions from Five Goals	6
Session 2.1: Coordinating Planning on Regional Activities by CTI Goal	7
Session 2.2: Results: Coordinated Planning on Regional Activities by CTI Goal	7
Session 2.3: Crosscutting Themes and the Priority Actions/Activities	7
Session 2.4: Finalizing Collective Priority Actions	8
Session 3.1: Implementation Mechanisms for Priority Regional Activities	9
Session 3.2: Refining Proposed Mechanism(s) for Regional Prioritized Collective Action(s)	10
Session 3.3: Finalizing Regional Report and Planning for the 6 th SOM	10
Session 3.4: Closing of the Workshop	10
3.0 Proposed Regional Priority Actions, Activities, and Implementation Plans	12
3.1 Proposed Activities	12
3.2 Proposed Responsible Group(s)	12
3.3 Resources	12
3.4 Implementation Plans	13
3.5 Time Schedules	19
4.0 Recommendations	21
Annexes	22
Annex 1: Final Agenda of the CTI Regional Priority Actions and Coordination Workshop	23
Annex 2: Pre-Workshop Summary of RPOA Actions with Country Priorities and Partner Strengths ..	26
Annex 3: Participant List	31
Annex 5: Detailed Notes from 12 Workshop Sessions and Breakouts	38
Annex 5: Template for Describing Activity Implementation Plans for Priority Actions	64
Annex 6: Illustrative Types of Activities for Implementing Priority Actions	65
Annex 7: Outline for the First CTI Annual Report	66
Annex 8: Implementing Plans for CTI Regional Priority Actions (by Goal, and Action)	
68	

LIST OF ACRONYMS

BFAR	Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources of Philippines
BSSE	Bismarck Solomon Sea Eco-region
CI	Conservation International
CCA	Climate Change Adaptation
CMWG	Coordination Mechanism Working Group
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization, Australia
CT6	Coral Triangle Countries (Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Papua New Guinea, Solomon Islands, Timor Leste)
CTI	Coral Triangle Initiative
CTI-CFF	Coral Triangle Initiative on Coral Reefs, Fisheries and Food Security
CTSP	Coral Triangle Support Partnership (USAID/Asia)
CRMP	Coastal Resource Management Project (USAID former project)
CRM	Coastal Resource Management
EAFM	Ecosystem Approach to Fisheries Management
EBFM	Ecosystem-based Fisheries Management
IEC	Information, education, and communication
IUU fishing	Illegal, unreported, unregulated fishing
LMMA	Locally Managed Marine Area
LRFFT	Live Reef Fish Food Trade
M&E	Monitoring and Evaluation
MOST	Ministry of Science and Technology, Malaysia
MPA	Marine Protected Area
NCC	National Coordinating Committee
NCCC	National Climate Change Council(s)
NGO	Non-government organization
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, USA
NPOA	National Plan of Action
PEMSEA	Program for the Environmental Management of the Seas of East Asia
PI	Program Integrator (US CTI Support Program)
PNG	Papua New Guinea
RDMA	Regional Development Mission for Asia (USAID)
RPOA	Regional Plan of Action
SPC	Secretariat of the Pacific Community
SSME	Sulu-Sulawesi Seas Marine Eco-region
TNC	The Nature Conservancy
UNDP	United Nations Development Program
USG	US Government
USAID	United States Agency for International Development
US CTI	United States Coral Triangle Initiative Support Program
USG	United States Government
WWF	World Wildlife Fund

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the inputs and results of the CTI Regional Priority Actions and Coordination Workshop 2010-2011 that was conducted May 17-19, 2010 in Jakarta, Indonesia. This first section provides the background, objectives, general description of the workshop process, and describes the participants. The second section summarizes the process and content of each of the 12 sessions during the three-day workshop. The third section presents the tables, by CTI Goal, of the sets of activities to be conducted under each Priority Action. The fourth section presents the discussions and recommendations of the workshop for the agenda for the SOM-6 meeting, the draft outline of the CTI Annual Report and other recommendations. The annexes contain the participant list, the detailed agenda, RPOA Priority Actions annotated spreadsheet, and the individual activity descriptions proposed by the goal teams.

1.1 Background

With the endorsement of the Coral Triangle Initiative on Coral Reefs, Fisheries and Food Security Regional Plan of Action (CTI-CFF RPOA) at the Leaders Summit, May 2009, the six Coral Triangle countries (Indonesia, Malaysia, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Solomon Islands, and Timor Leste) focused on developing their national plans of action to begin implementing their priority programs and actions in 2009 and 2010. At the regional level, the CT6 focused on establishing the Regional Secretariat; laying down a foundation of regional and Secretariat organizational structures and functions; developing coordination mechanisms, standard procedures and protocols; and identifying financial resources.

At the 2nd CTI Ministerial Meeting in Gizo, Solomon Islands in November 2009, ministers from the CT6 countries endorsed Indonesia as the location for the permanent Regional Secretariat, the Secretariat's basic functions and structure, and the relationships between the CTI organizational bodies and partners. While the process to prepare all the necessary legal documents and protocols to establish the permanent Secretariat is in progress, the CT6 countries recognized the need to start designing and implementing priority regional activities in parallel to the creation of the permanent secretariat.

As each of the CT6 countries have completed draft or final National Plans of Action (NPOA) that prioritize their needs and planned activities, countries and partners are ready to begin addressing the regional scale in order to implement joint and coordinated actions that were identified in the RPOA. To more effectively achieve the goals stated in the CTI-CFF RPOA, the CT6, Regional Secretariat, and partners recognized the need to also coordinate and align the regional and national activities with the programs of the CTI partners.

1.2 Objectives

This workshop had four objectives:

1. Identify 2010-2011 CTI regional priority actions for each of the five RPOA goals and develop implementation plans for joint activities to implement those actions; including identify activities for some crosscutting themes that directly support the identified regional priority actions.
2. Identify the initial scope, the roles of the relevant CT6 organizations and partners, the source(s) of funds, and the timing and location for each of the activities.
3. Develop recommendations for future work in the effort to achieve goals in the RPOA.
4. Propose mechanism(s) and a process for developing, managing, and implementing regional activities or programs, and for aligning activities of partners.

1.3 Workshop References

There were several references used by the participants and the organizers of the workshop. These included:

- The CTI Regional Plan of Action (May 2009)

- The following versions of the Draft National Plans of Action from the CT6 countries (the actions from these can be found on the CD-ROM of materials from the workshop, and on the CTI website):
 - Indonesia: May 2009
 - Malaysia: October 2009
 - Philippines: October 2009
 - Papua New Guinea: February 2010
 - Solomon Islands: November 2009
 - Timor-Leste: January 2010
- The materials submitted by the partners to the Secretariat and workshop planning team prior to and during the workshop.

1.4 Description of the Workshop Process

The Regional Secretariat invited a small team of partners (US CTI Support Program and Conservation International) to join the workshop planning team as resource persons to help organize the workshop, prepare materials, facilitate the activities, and consult with CT6 focal points and partners on workshop planning. The final agenda is attached as **Annex 1**.

Prior to the workshop, the planning team created a simple spreadsheet listing each of the RPOA Actions. CT6 country teams and partners used the spreadsheet to provide inputs to the planning team on their country's preliminary priority actions (for the CT6) and their organization's strengths and interests for each RPOA action (for partners). This RPOA preliminary priority actions information is compiled and summarized in **Annex 2**. Each CT country and partner also received a set of worksheets containing national actions from the latest versions of the six NPOAs.

As the first work planning and coordination milestone, the workshop was structured simply and informally to allow innovation, adaptive management, and goal-oriented cooperation to fuel the process, using small roundtable discussions and break-out groups by RPOA Goal. The Regional Secretariat chaired each plenary session, while a facilitator coordinated each breakout group discussion. The participants worked in teams (consisting of country representatives, government and non-government partners, technical advisors, and observers) defined by the five thematic goals of the RPOA (Seascapes; Ecosystem Approach to Fisheries Management (EAFM); Marine Protected Areas (MPA); Climate Change Adaptation (CCA); and Threatened Species).

The first day introduced the workshop objectives and process, and teams for each goal identified one or two priority actions under the Regional Plan of Action to address in 2010-2011. The second day, working in the same goal teams, focused on developing a sequence of activities to implement the selected actions. For each priority action, goal teams identified the key activities needed to achieve that action, as well as a multi-stakeholder implementation team (with some roles defined), and a funding stream that included needed technical assistance. The activities defined were then reviewed to compile a list of the activities that also addressed a number of crosscutting themes to inform future coordination and planning. The third day focused on defining how to operationalize the proposed activity teams. This ultimately resulted in a table of implementation activities, including the immediate next steps and responsible parties presented in **Table 2 (Section 3)**. In the last sessions, participants discussed the scope and some of the initial logistics for the next major CTI event, the Senior Officials Meeting (SOM), scheduled tentatively in late July or early August 2010 in Indonesia, and proposed an outline for the First CTI Annual Report.

1.5 Participants

Participants of the workshop included government representatives from all six Coral Triangle countries, representatives from all six recognized CTI partners (Australia, USA, Asian Development Bank/GEF, Conservation International, The Nature Conservancy, and the World Wildlife Fund), resource persons, and interested observer organizations. Over 60 people joined the workshop as participants or facilitators, with more than half from the CT6 countries. A complete list of participants and resource persons is found in **Annex 3**.

1.6 Roles and Functions

This workshop was designed as an opportunity for the delegates of the CT6 countries to discuss and decide on priority regional actions to be implemented in 2010-2011 (i.e., a period of eighteen months). Once select regional actions were prioritized, discussion in each session was opened to both CT6 and partner delegates. Facilitators were told to make sure that discussions were conducted in a balanced way to avoid dominance from either side, especially when the number of representatives from various countries or countries vs. partners was uneven in a breakout group or plenary. Experts/resource persons were invited to respond when asked their opinions and were often asked to contribute freely by the facilitators. Observers were invited to contribute openly in some groups, but not in all. In each session notes were taken and transcribed and given to group facilitators for editing before sending them to the Workshop Planning Team for the workshop reports.

2.0 SESSIONS

Detailed notes from each session are included in **Annex 4**. The twelve sessions (four each for three days) are summarized below.

Session 1.1: Opening and Workshop Orientation

The Acting Director General of Marine, Coastal and Small Islands, Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries (MMAF), Republic of Indonesia, opened the workshop as the Chair of the CTI interim Regional Secretariat, and brought greetings from MMAF Minister Dr. Fadel Muhammad. After the opening, the Coordinator of the Regional Secretariat/Planning Team described the objectives and outputs that were expected from the workshop, the workshop roles of participants (CT6 delegates, partner delegates, observers, facilitators, resource persons, and experts) and the roadmap to the end of the workshop when the objectives and outputs should be achieved. The discussion included the importance of this event as an opportunity to synergize national actions and develop new regional actions among the CT6 countries and the CTI partners in order to achieve the CTI goals.

Guidance on how to prioritize actions also generated a fair bit of discussion, including the desire for these first CTI regional actions to be inclusive, transformational, and significant to showcase the CTI-CFF, and prioritizing the “regionality” of the action. This later discussion identified a few criteria that were used as a general non-binding guidance for “regionality”, including:

- An action that requires collective action (e.g., transboundary) is more “regional” than one that requires collective planning but parallel, independent action.
- An action that leads to economies of scales that benefit most or all of the CT countries (e.g., standardizing frameworks or models, replicating a common training module), even if in the end, most of the activities are unilateral or sub-regional, it should have a high “regionality” value.
- A compilation of national activities, actions, or outputs, although not necessarily “regional” can have regional value, especially if the compilation leads to a “tipping point” or a voting-block whose sum is greater than its parts.

Other criteria or questions to consider in prioritizing the actions included the relative importance of the action to the region or an individual country, whether the action is reflected in a country's National Plan of Action, the feasibility of the action, its political support, whether there is adequate information, capacity or funding to begin the action, and whether there was a country who was willing to take the lead or serve as the “champion” of implementing the action.

In the end, the plenary agreed that the specific objective of the workshop would be to identify one to three priority actions from each of the five CTI goals to address in 2010-2011, essentially 15-40% of the RPOA actions.

Session 1.2: Coordinated Planning on Regional Activities by CTI Goal – Results from Pre-workshop Inputs

Each Coral Triangle country was invited to briefly present the status of their National Plans of Action and their preliminary ten Regional Priority Actions. These are summarized in **Annex 4** in the detailed session summaries.

Then, a review of the data for priority actions collected by the planning team was presented (see **Annex 2**), with an emphasis on actions that were most common among the CT6 countries and partners. The action for each of the five CTI goals that was ranked highest by the most countries follows, with the number of priority “hits” for the CT6 and the partners included for each goal is shown in the table below.

Table 1: Priority Actions for each CTI Goal compiled from Pre-Workshop inputs

Goal/Target/Action	Description	CT6	Partners
Goal 1, Target 2, Action 2	Establish seascape capacity-building and learning mechanisms	3	5
Goal 2, Target 1, Action 2	Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration	4	4
Goal 3, Target 1, Action 3	Build capacity for effective management of the CTMPAS	4	6
Goal 4, Target 1, Action 4	Conduct capacity needs assessments and develop capacity-building programs on climate change adaptation measures	4	5
Goal 5, Target 1, Action 1	Assess species status by supporting ongoing and new assessment programs	4	1

Dr. Fedi Sondita, workshop coordinator, then presented a graphic to represent the expected outputs from the workshop, i.e., a set of activity planning details for each of the priority actions. (See **Figure 1: Proposed Process to develop and structure of the Implementation Plan of Priority Regional Actions**)




Figure 1: Proposed process to develop and structure the CTI Implementation Plan of Priority Regional Actions

Session 1.3: Prioritizing Regional Actions by Five CTI Goals

The participants broke into five facilitated groups by CTI Goal (Seascapes, EAFM, MPA, CCA, and Threatened Species) and reviewed the actions under their RPOA Goal to select one to three priority actions. The CT6 countries and resource persons spoke and discussed first, and only then were the partners and in some cases observers invited to comment. The resulting goals, targets, and priority actions from each team were presented back to the plenary and are listed below, with details from the breakout groups and their discussions described more completely in **Annex 4** in the detailed session summaries.

Session 1.4: Report and Discussion on Prioritized Actions from Five Goals

Each goal team/breakout group presented one to three actions that they considered to be the highest regional priority action for their goal. The objective of the presentation was to convey to other participants which priority actions were chosen and why, and to discuss the results to reach a consensus from the CT6 countries. The workshop identified nine priority actions from the Regional Plan of Action that required collective action(s), or that generated economies of scale that warranted collective or parallel actions. These preliminary nine priority actions proposed included:

Goal 1: Seascapes

Target 2: Marine and coastal resources within all “Priority Seascapes” are being sustainably managed

- Action 1. **Adopt a general “model” for sustainable management of seascapes**

Goal 2: EAFM

Target 1: Strong legislative, policy, and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)

- Action 2. **Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration** (Note: Action 2 is presented first as it was considered the highest of the three actions in priority)
- Action 1. **Collaborate to develop a “common regional framework for legislation and policy” that would support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies, and regulations.**

Target 2: Improve income, livelihoods and food security in an increasingly significant number of coastal communities across the region through a new sustainable coastal fisheries and poverty reduction initiative (“COASTFISH”)

- Action 3. **Collaborate around technology and information sharing, lessons learned, and joint marketing of common products.**

Goal 3: MPA

Target 1: Region-wide Coral Triangle MPA System (CTMPAS) in place and fully functional

- Action 3: **Build capacity for effective management of CTMPAS**

Goal 4 Climate Change

Target 1: Region-wide Early Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation for the near-shore marine and coastal environment and small island ecosystems developed and implemented

- Action 1: **Identify the most important and immediate adaptation measures that should be taken across all Coral Triangle countries, based primarily on analyses using existing models**
- Action 4: **Conduct capacity needs assessments and develop capacity-building programs on climate change adaptation measures**

Goal 5: Threatened Species

Target 1: Improved status of sharks, sea turtles, seabirds, marine mammals, coral, seagrass, mangroves, and other identified threatened species

- Action 3: **Complete and implement region-wide Sea Turtles Conservation Action Plan**
- Action 5: **Complete and implement region-wide Marine Mammals Conservation Action Plan.**

The discussion in the plenary brought out a few issues for consideration. For Goal 2 (EAFM), some felt that these priorities were all related, especially the three actions under Target 2, so participants suggested identifying Target 2 and all of its actions as priority actions. For Goal 3 (MPA), capacity building was a very common theme, and some questioned whether this had a truly high “regionality” value or was just a well-known and overall need of the CT6 countries; as capacity-building alone would not necessarily resolve the issues of the RPOA and the CTI, it would need to be applied to achieve a specific target or goal. Due to the limited presence of CT6 representatives in the Goal 5 team (Threatened Species), it was clarified that each country’s readiness was considered when choosing the priority for threatened species. These priority actions would be reviewed and finalized in a following session.

Session 2.1: Coordinating Planning on Regional Activities by CTI Goal

This session began with a brief plenary (30 minutes) to review the results from the first day, to encourage goal breakout teams to review their priorities based on “regionality” criteria and to introduce the template provided to describe their activities (see **Annex 5**). An illustrative set of activities was provided to assist the breakout groups (see **Annex 6**). The teams then returned to their facilitated breakout groups to work independently. The outcome of this session was actions with sets of activities, which were presented in Session 2.2.


Session 2.2: Results: Coordinated Planning on Regional Activities by CTI Goal

The plenary reporting session for the breakout goal teams to share proposed implementing activities was conducted in a round robin format. Each goal team facilitator remained at the flip chart station, and the five goal teams then rotated to each station to review and comment on the work of the other groups. For the first five minutes, the facilitator described the proposed activities for their goal, after which comments were invited (and collected) from the visiting teams for an additional 5-10 minutes. Many of these comments included notes on synergies between the goal activities and observations of similar crosscutting activities. These comments were then included in the next breakout session when the goal teams finalized their detailed implementation plans for each activity while identifying appropriate crosscutting themes in Session 2.3. The outcome of this session was further refinement or more detailed descriptions of each priority action in the activity form. See the detailed session notes for the goal teams in **Annex 4**.

Session 2.3: Crosscutting Themes and the Priority Actions/Activities

Dr. Stacey Tighe, workshop advisor, presented a compilation and the comparative “popularity” or frequency of use for various types of crosscutting themes in CTI documents and workshops (National Plans of Action, the Townsville (2008) and Bali (2009) workshops, etc.). The results, presented below, (see Chart 2) indicate that Capacity Building was by far the most often identified crosscutting theme, followed by the close grouping of Policy and Governance, Sustainable Financing, Community-based Integrated Coastal Management, and Knowledge Management. The outcome of this session helped the participants identify ways to potentially facilitate coordination among the activities thereby increasing implementation efficiency, and to facilitate organizations in recognizing ways to contribute in the CTI implementation. There was little discussion about this result’s significance, as the primary purpose was to select crosscutting themes for the workshop to use in reviewing the Regional Priority Actions and their implementation activity plans for crosscutting synergies and linkages.

Figure 2: Summary of Most Popular Crosscutting Themes in CTI Documents by # of “Hits”



With this introduction, the goal teams returned to their breakout sessions to consider which of these five key crosscutting issues was relevant to their various implementation plans addressing their goal’s priority actions. This session was also the final opportunity for the groups to amend their activities, and indeed, based on their work there were a few small changes in the selection of priority actions and planned activities, as noted in the following plenary reporting session and the detailed session notes in **Annex 4**.

Session 2.4: Finalizing Collective Priority Actions

The final plenary of the day gave opportunities for each group to report their final implementation plan for the priority actions, and to comment on any changes for the actions they identified as the feasibility and detailed activities evolved. This session also presented what the groups identified as crosscutting activities.

For example, the MPA group added an action (now they recommend both Action 1 and Action 3 for priority implementation). The CCA group decided one planned activity (a CCA Policy Workshop) actually addressed two actions (Target 1, Action 3 and Action 4), so they “blended” or added Action 3 to their list of priority actions. The Threatened Species group selected two different actions (Actions 3 and 5), but proposed a similar set of activities to implement the actions, which could be done concurrently. See the details of the breakout groups and session notes **Annex 4**.

Changes in the list of priority actions and the final results from Session 1.3 to Session 2.4 are noted below (strike-out text mark deleted actions, underlining marks added actions):

Goal 1: Seascapes (**No changes**)

Target 2: Marine and coastal resources within all “Priority Seascapes” are being sustainably managed

- Action1. Adopt a general ‘model’ for sustainable management of seascapes

Goal 2: EAFM (**Third action was removed from priority list by workshop team**)

Target 1: Strong legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)

- Action 2. Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration
- Action 1. Collaborate to develop a “common regional framework for legislation and policy” that would support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies and regulations.

~~Target 2: Action 3. Collaborate around technology and information sharing, lessons learned, and joint marketing of common products.~~

Goal 3: MPA (Action 1 was added to the priority list)

Target 1: Region-wide Coral Triangle MPA System (CTMPAS) in place and fully functional

- Action 1: Jointly establish overall goals, objectives, principles, and operational design elements for a CTMPAS centered around priority MPA networks.
- Action 3: Build capacity for effective management of CTMPAS

Goal 4: Climate Change (Action 3 was “blended” with or added to Action 4)

Target 1: Region-wide Early Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation for the near-shore marine and coastal environment and small island ecosystems developed and implemented

- Action 1: Identify the most important and immediate adaptation measures that should be taken across all Coral Triangle countries, based primarily on analyses using existing models
- Action 3: Complete and implement a Region-wide Early Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation
- Action 4: Conduct capacity needs-assessments and develop capacity-building programs on climate change adaptation measures

Goal 5: Threatened species (No changes)

Target 1: Improved status of sharks, sea turtles, seabirds, marine mammals, coral, seagrass, mangroves and other identified threatened species

- Action 3: Complete and implement region-wide Sea Turtles Conservation Action Plan
- Action 5: Complete and implement region-wide Marine Mammals Conservation Plan.

Capacity building (14 activities) and knowledge management (13 activities) were the most frequent crosscutting themes that related to the activities in the implementation plans, whereas community-based development was the least frequent (2 activities). The details, for those who might want to explore the possible linkages or synergies between the capacity-building and knowledge management activities, can be found in **Annex 4**.

Session 3.1: Implementation Mechanisms for Priority Regional Activities

The session began by emphasizing the importance of having a responsible group to manage each action, the resources needed to implement it, a time schedule, and a clear set of next steps. A brief presentation by Dr. Kitty Courtney of the US CTI Support Program raised some key questions and recommended a simple approach participants could adopt for planning and defining the mechanism for implementing these regional activities.

Dr. Courtney recommended using a consensus-based informal approach, bringing together motivated CT6 countries and partners to work on specific activities defined in this workshop. There could also be various levels of engagement of the other CT6 countries. The next steps could include identifying focal points from all interested parties for each regional activity; establishing core teams with roles and responsibilities; deciding who will manage the regional activity on a day-to-day basis; developing detailed schedules, tasks, and budget; and finalizing funding sources. More importantly, it emphasized the need for each goal team to discuss and list immediate next steps and responsible organizations during the breakout session that followed the plenary. The recommended steps included regional activity planning, regional activity implementation, and country follow-on activities with monitoring and reporting. Dr. Courtney emphasized the importance of defining who will be engaged in the process and at what step, and the need to clarify the roles of CT6/NCCs, focal points, country resources, learning organizations, the Regional Secretariat, and partners. She suggested breaking down the role of each party in each of the implementation steps, i.e. planning, implementation, country follow-on, and monitoring and reporting.

The five goal groups worked independently to define the next steps, roles, and responsibilities of the countries and organizations that would take the lead in implementing the proposed activities.

Session 3.2: Refining Proposed Mechanism(s) for Regional Prioritized Collective Action(s)

In Session 3.2 each goal group presented the latest description of each priority action and its set of activities, primary source of resources, responsible group, and implementation schedule to all the participants from the CT6 countries and partners for review and agreement by consensus. This information is summarized in the right side of Table 2, presented in Section 3, below. This session completed the planning and agreement on regional priority actions to be completed in 2010-2011 by the CTI countries and partners.

Session 3.3: Finalizing Regional Report and Planning for the 6th SOM

The objectives for this session were to discuss and define a proposed agenda for the 6th SOM, create an initial table of contents or list of elements to be included in the first CTI Annual Report, and to receive a presentation on the US CTI Support Program web portal for its potential use in archiving materials and helping activity teams conduct their work. The results are summarized in Section 3.4.

Session 3.4: Closing of the Workshop

Mr. Eko Rudianto, First Secretary of the CTI Regional Secretariat, conducted the workshop closing. He summarized the nine regional priority actions recommended and the list of activities agreed by the country teams and partners to implement them in 2010-2011. He also summarized other key results of the workshop, as described below.

Priority Actions Recommended

The workshop identified nine priority actions from the RPOA, which include:

- Goal 1 (Seascapes), Target 2 (Marine and coastal resources within all “Priority Seascapes” are being sustainably managed), Action 1: **Adopt a general “model” for sustainable management of seascapes.**
- Goal 2 (EAFM), Target 1 (Strong legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)), Action 1: **Collaborate to develop a “common regional framework for legislation and policy” that would support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies and regulations.**
- Goal 2 (EAFM), Target 1, Action 2: **Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration.**
- Goal 3 (MPA), Target 1 (Region-wide Coral Triangle MPA System (CTMPAS) in place and fully functional), Action 1: **Jointly establish overall goals, objectives, principles, and operational design elements for a CTMPAS centered around priority MPA networks.**
- Goal 3 (MPA), Target 1 (Region-wide Coral Triangle MPA System (CTMPAS) in place and fully functional), Action 3: **Build capacity for effective management of the CTMPAS.**
- Goal 4 (CCA), Target 1 (Region-wide early action plan for climate change adaptation for the near-shore marine and coastal environment and small island ecosystems developed and implemented), Action 1: **Identify the most important and immediate adaptation measures that should be taken across all Coral Triangle countries, based primarily on analyses using existing models.**
- Goal 4 (CCA), Target 1, Actions 3 and 4: (blended) **Complete and implement a Region-wide Early Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation and Conduct capacity needs assessments and develop capacity programs on climate change adaptation measures.**
- Goal 5 (Threatened Species), Target 1 (Improved status of sharks, sea turtles, seabirds, marine mammals, coral, seagrass, mangroves and other identified threatened species), Action 3: **Complete and implement region-wide Sea Turtles Conservation Action Plan.**

- Goal 5 (Threatened Species), Target 1, Action 5: **Complete and implement region-wide Marine Mammals Conservation action Plan.**

Implementation Activity Plans for Priority Actions

A table that more clearly defines the specific actions and planned activities for implementing the actions was agreed and presented in Section 3, Table 3. This table will be refined with the verification from the CT6 countries and the first meetings of the activity teams that consist of CT6 thematic focal points and partners. The table is separated by goal, defines each selected target, priority action, and activities under each action, with a proposed schedule, implementing and resource teams, and responsible party for the next step.

Participants reviewed a set of crosscutting themes, which they used to potentially facilitate coordination among the activities thereby increasing implementation efficiency, and to facilitate various organizations in recognizing ways to contribute or participate in the CTI implementation. The workshop identified capacity building and knowledge management as the two most common themes among the regional priority actions. Participants proposed a recommendation for SOM to establish a working group with the CT6 and partners on capacity building that could facilitate development of a stronger foundation among the CT6 countries towards becoming more self-reliant.

Responsible Group and Implementation Mechanism for Next Steps

Participants developed a general and common understanding on how each priority action will be implemented. However, the workshop did not address whether the priorities identified precluded collective effort on other actions not prioritized; nor did it address whether all six countries had any obligation or commitment to participate in all the actions that were identified. However, the workshop did not address whether the priorities identified preclude collective effort on actions not prioritized; nor did it address whether all six countries have any obligation or commitment to address as priorities all the actions that were identified. Such decisions might be forwarded to the SOM for further deliberation as needed. The workshop outcomes were therefore reached with the intent that agreement on immediate collaboration on a set of limited priority actions would enable and catalyze much needed progress to address the goals of the RPOA one year after the CTI Summit.

A framework outlining the structure and relationship among the CT6 countries, the Regional Secretariat, and the partners was presented and approved by the Senior Officials in Gizo, Solomon Islands in November 2009. This workshop focused on immediate next steps required to implement the set of activities related to each priority action, in addition to requesting the Regional Secretariat to communicate the results of the workshop and confirming responsible groups, i.e. lead countries and focal points, for implementing the priority actions. These immediate next steps are indicated in each of the first activity of each action (see **Table 2**).

Resources

Resources to implement the priority actions were identified by the participants in each RPOA thematic goal working group. In addition to their national contributions, the CT6 delegates welcomed the assistance and support from the Regional Secretariat and partners to implement the planned activities. The partners that confirmed their specific support for specific collectively planned activities are Australia, USA, ADB-GEF, UNDP, CI, TNC, and WWF (the last three organizations with other funds outside of the USAID-funded NGO consortium known as the Coral Triangle Support Partnership (CTSP)). Partners stated their eagerness to learn from the CT6 of the identification of counterparts and the initial actions of responsible partners for the next steps so that they could start or continue the implementation of the activities together.

Proposed Agenda Items for the 6th Senior Officials Meeting

In addition to the usual recurring topics on the progress of the CTI, the workshop recommended other agenda items for the 6th SOM that include:

- Results of this workshop, CTI Regional Priority Actions and Coordination Workshop 2010-2011
 - Recognition of activities as CTI-agreed regional priorities
 - Consideration of a Capacity Building Working Group
- Country updates
- Mechanism/SOP to approve new membership to CTI (both countries and partners)

The First Annual CTI Report

The workshop recommended the drafting of the First Annual CTI Report, and that it should cover a time period from May 2009 (the CTI Summit) through the end of the calendar year of 2010. The report should include:

- Implementation status of the CTI Regional Plan of Action
- Implementation status of the National Plans of Action
- Lessons Learned/Challenges for the CTI
- Future Activities

Details of the draft outline are presented in **Annex 7**.

Concluding Remarks

Once the lead countries and focal points from each CT6 country confirm the above-mentioned regional priority actions, it is expected that the upcoming Senior Officials Meeting will discuss and recognize them as the official CTI Regional Actions for 2010-2011. Follow-up discussions among the CT6 countries, Regional Secretariat, and partners are needed to ensure development of more detailed plans, their implementation, and reporting.

3.0 PROPOSED REGIONAL PRIORITY ACTIONS, ACTIVITIES, AND IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

3.1 Proposed Activities

An iterative process determined the activities to implement each of the nine prioritized regional actions. Participants in each breakout group (by CTI goal) reviewed the background and information for each goal, target, and action noted in the RPOA, then jointly developed a set of activities and scope that would lead to implementing the desired action. As a team, they added details, sequenced the activities, and agreed on an activity plan.

3.2 Proposed Responsible Group(s)

Each regional action requires a responsible group of lead CT6 countries, a country host (usually), and sponsors who will support its planning and implementation. However most country representatives made tentative commitments and noted that, as most delegates were not in a position to authorize personnel or other institutions from within their countries, they require some consultation with their governments and full NCCs before confirming their roles. In addition, while some CT6 delegates offered their country's willingness to serve as a lead or co-lead of an action, they all requested that the other CT6 countries confirm that leadership before committing to host or lead an activity. For instance, Malaysia offered to lead the management for the regional action under Goal 2 to "Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration", and Indonesia, PNG, and Solomon Islands offered to lead or share the lead of the CCA activities.

Partners willing to support the activity offered their commitment on an activity-by-activity basis and were welcomed on the management team for that RPOA action or activity. If an activity was proposed and prioritized, but no country or sponsor was prepared to commit to lead or sponsor the activity, the workshop tasked the Regional Secretariat to communicate with all CT6 countries requesting recommendations and confirmation on individuals, institutions, or countries to lead each regional action. Since the presence of a responsible group is a precondition to implement each Regional Action, this task becomes the first priority of the Regional Secretariat.

3.3 Resources

The participants have identified the resources for each of the proposed activities. In addition to CT6 countries providing resources for their own country needs and tasks (i.e., conducting a national review), some resources were identified and confirmed directly by authorized delegates of partner institutions. Various levels of detail will be clarified when the activity planning teams meet and define the scope of the activity. Both CT6 and partners openly discussed this issue throughout the workshop.

Partners that have confirmed their support or assistance to implement activities defined for 2010-2011 include the Asian Development Bank/GEF program, Australia, the US CTI Support Program, UNDP, Conservation International, The Nature Conservancy, and the World Wildlife Fund. The partners noted that the activity's design and the committed leadership of the CT6 or the identified responsible group would influence the types and level of their support.

3.4 Implementation Plans

The approach and process of implementation for each action were developed and documented in a form that includes the statements of goal and target, titles of action and activity, objectives and deliverables, the approach and steps to achieve those objectives and deliverables, description of nature of activities, who are involved, the starting date of implementation, location of activity, description of resources (nature of resources, from whom, and their quantity), and notes. The implementation plan for each action is summarized in **Table 2**. Detailed descriptions of priority regional actions in terms of their implementation activities are provided in **Annex 8**.

Table 2: Summary of Confirmed Regional Priority Actions and Planned Activities (2010-2011)

GOAL 1: SEASCAPES

Goal #	Target #	Reg. Act. #	Regional Actions/ Set of Activities		Planned Qtr	Sources of Resources	Responsible Group for Next Step	Notes
1	2	1	Adopt a general "model" for the sustainable management of seascapes.			Australia; UNDP; CI	Regional Secretariat to Communicate with the CT6 re: Focal Points	
			1	Create CT6, partner and friends team, get approvals and manage work stream	Q3 2010	CT6	National experts, consulting team, and other groups	
			2	Consultant/team compilation/comparative review of models, reviewed by team, overseen by high-level tech folks from CT6	Q3 2010 Q4 2010	CT6	Seascope Teams (SSME, BSME, ATSEA), Technical Experts, Consultants	
			3	National Consultation/ Review with consultant as resource, of the model option to prepare for regional workshop	Q2 2011	NOAA; Australia; UNDP	CT6 and their experts	
			4	Regional Workshop to Finalize Seascope Model for CTI	Q4 2011	NOAA; Australia; UNDP, CI	National experts, consulting team, and other groups	

GOAL 2: EAFM

G#	T #	Reg. Act. #	Regional Actions/ Set of Activities		Planned Qtr	Sources of Resources	Responsible Group for Next Step	Notes
2	1	2	Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration.			US CTI WWF ADB-GEF	Regional Secretariat to Communicate with the CT6	Malaysia is currently leading regional management to combat IUU Fishing
			1	Identify/use focal point and country leads	May 26 2010	CT6	NCCs and Regional Secretariat	
			2	Identify appropriate existing regional mechanism on IUU (e.g. ASEAN WEN, RPOA of RFP)	Jun 15 2010	CT6 and Partners		
			3	Compile and review existing work plan/programs	Jul 15 2010	CT6 and Partners		
			4	CTI IUU network	July 21-23, 2010	CT6 and Partners		
			5	Launch CTI IUU collaborative work plan	Aug 2010	Focal Points and Partners		
			6	Endorsement by MM	Aug 2010	Ministerial Meeting	Regional Secretariat	
2	1	1	Collaborate to develop a "common regional framework for legislation and policy" that would support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies, and regulations			US- CTI; WWF; NCCs	Regional Secretariat to Communicate with the CT6	Philippine and Indonesia offered to take lead
			1	Identifying stages of EAF applications re: policy and regulations on fisheries in CT6 countries (Start in country; Term of Reference; Review similar projects applying EAFM ; Review policies and legislation related to EAFM; Person who goes to URI for EAFM training preferably be the focal point; URI course participants draft strategy to apply the learning of the EAFM)	May 26 2010 – Sep 15 2010	National Supports; US CTI	Focal Points with support from Partners	
			2	Identify national institutions to support CT research, assessment and learning (Meeting of the focal points and partners; Produce draft/framework for regional work plan)	June 30, Sep 15 2010	NCC and Partners	Focal Points with support from Partners	
			3	Defining common understanding on EAF: regionalizing EAF content applicable to CT6	Nov 16 - 18 2010	NCC and Partners	Focal Points with support from Partners	
			4	Set up work plan for EAFM framework	Feb 15 2011			

GOAL 3: MPA

Goal #	Target #	Reg. Act. #	Regional Actions/ Set of Activities		Planned Qtr	Sources of Resources	Responsible Group for Next Step	Notes
3	1	1	Jointly establish overall goals, objectives, principles, and operational design elements for a CTMPAS centered around priority MPA networks.			US CTI CT6	Regional Secretariat to Communicate with the CT6	
			1	Conduct workshop to establish the goals and operational design for a CTMPAS (Preparation includes National workshops, Regional workshops, CT6 focal points, Collaboration between regional scientists and CT6). First event is Regional Exchange to Phuket, followed by national workshops	June 17-19 2010	US CTI CT6	US CTI + Focal Points (A. White/TNC, S. Atkinson/CI/A. Walton /NOAA) + CT6	
3	1	1	2	Develop and manage an information system to track MPAs/ in the CT6 that can be used by the CT6 in planning and development of MPA networks and determine representation of critical habitat and species in the system (Database development is on-going; Workshop to be conducted in 1st quarter of 2011; Launch of the information system during a CTI regional meeting, 3rd quarter of 2011)	Q3 2010 – Q3 2011		TNC + WWF + WorldFish + ADB + CT6	
			3	Conduct a public/private round table forum to build support for the CT MPA System (Preparation include: Identify key partners, Design the activity, Coordinate with the USCTI PI)	Q2 2010; Q2 2011		Malaysia, PNG, WWF, TNC	
3	1	3	Build capacity for effective management of the CTMPAS.					
			1	Conduct regional workshop to review and share capacity building programs/gaps in each country (Inventory of what is working well in each CT6 country; National Workshops/ process of inventory, Institutional network to continue sharing within country/regional, Adaptive Framework for CTI CD, Focal point for CD in each country (each country request from NCCC)	Regional Workshop		Focal Points from Indonesia, Australia, TNC and CI	Indonesia is prepared to lead the group

GOAL 4: CCA

Goal #	Target #	Reg. Act. #	Regional Actions/ Set of Activities	Planned Qtr	Sources of Resources	Responsible Group for Next Step	Notes
4	1	1	Identify the most important and immediate adaptation measures that should be taken across all Coral Triangle countries, based primarily on analyses using existing models.		GEF-ADB	Regional Secretariat to Communicate with the CT6 to define Focal Points	Indonesia, PNG and Solomon Island offer to take lead
			1 CCA Regional Exchange		US CTI SP (PI, NOAA, CTSP); NCCs		
			1.1 Inventory of framework, methods, and tools for VA and resilience;	Q3 2010			
			1.2 Review inventory and identify lessons learned and resource requirements to apply tools; Identify opportunities and engage partners; Identify participants/organizations; Develop regional exchange design	Q4 2010			
			1.3 Conduct Regional Exchange on Vulnerability Tools, Resilience, Training Materials	Q1 2011			
			1.4 Follow on in-country support for national roll-out (small grants, workshops)	Q2 2011; Q3 2011; Q4 2011			
4	1	3	Complete and implement a Region-wide Early Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation		US CTI SP (PI, NOAA, CTSP); NCCs	Regional Secretariat to Communicate with the CT6	Indonesia, PNG and Solomon Island offered to take lead; these two Actions have the same Next Step/Work plans so are linked here.
4	1	4	Conduct capacity needs assessments and develop capacity programs on climate change adaptation measures				
			1 Climate Change/CCA Policy Regional Exchange (Inventory of policies, initiatives, and projects from each CT6 country; Identify participants and send invitations; Identify experts; Develop program)	June 28–30, 2010 Solomon			

GOAL 5: Threatened Species

Goal #	Target #	Reg. Act. #	Regional Actions/ Set of Activities		Planned Qtr	Sources of Resources	Responsible Group for Next Step	Notes
5	1	3	Complete and implement region-wide Sea Turtles Conservation Action Plan.			WWF Australia CT6	Regional Secretariat to Communicate with the CT6	
			1	Information gathering/compilation (At SOM announce formation of focal point committee and agreement of design plan; Side meeting of focal points at SOM)	End of July 2010			
			2	Information analysis/assessment/review	Q3			
			3	Development of Conservation Action Plan	Q4			
			4	Endorsement of plan by CTI Ministers	MM			
5	1	5	Complete and Implementation region-wide Marine Mammals Conservation Action Plan.			WWF Australia CT6	Regional Secretariat to Communicate with the CT6	
			1	Information gathering/compilation (At SOM announce formation of focal point committee and agreement of design plan; Side meeting of focal points at SOM)	End of July 2010			
			2	Information analysis/assessment/review	Q3			
			3	Development of Conservation Action Plan	Q4			
			4	Endorsement of plan by CTI Ministers	MM			

3.5 Time Schedules

With a time frame of 18 months, the participants were able to determine dates for each major joint activity that could now be placed in the CTI Calendar (**Table 3**). Most activities listed in the proposed actions are expected to begin in early third quarter of 2010 (July-September). This calendar is a sign of the confidence and commitments for collective action on the part of the workshop participants, i.e. CT6 and partners. Certainly, activities with confirmed plans and budget can be more easily implemented, such as the participation of the CT6 in the *Regional Exchange on Designing and Operating MPA Networks and Systems* to be held in Phuket during June 16-20, 2010 with the majority of assistance and support from the US CTI Support Program. Clearly the implementation time for each activity will be subject to the establishment of a responsible group for each action. To help smooth the preparation, the participants have developed guidance on next steps that must be done immediately after the workshop. With help from Regional Secretariat, each of activities is expected to be implemented as scheduled.

Table 3: Summary of Actions and Proposed Timelines for Priority Regional Actions

Goal #	Target #	RPOA Action #	Regional Actions	Q3 2010	Q4 2010	Q1 2011	Q2 2011	Q3 2011	Q4 2011
1	2	1	Adopt a general "model" for the sustainable management of seascapes.	X	X		X		X
2	1	2	Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration.						
2	1	1	Collaborate to develop a "common regional framework for legislation and policy" that would support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies, and regulations	X					
3	1	1	Jointly establish overall goals, objectives, principles, and operational design elements for a CTMPAS centered around priority MPA networks.	X	X	X			
3	1	3	Build capacity for effective management of the CTMPAS.					X	
4	1	1	Identify the most important and immediate adaptation measures that should be taken across all Coral Triangle countries, based primarily on analyses using existing models.	X		X			
4	1	3 and 4	3: Complete and implement a Region-wide Early Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation 4: Conduct capacity needs assessments and develop capacity-building programs on climate change adaptation measures	X					
5	1	3	Complete and implement region-wide Sea Turtles Conservation Action Plan.	X	X		X		
5	1	5	Complete and implement region-wide Marine Mammals Conservation Action Plan.	X	X		X		

4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

This workshop produced the following recommendations to be considered and discussed by the CT6 countries in preparation for and delivery to the next Senior Officials Meeting (SOM) scheduled in Jakarta later in 2010. The Regional Secretariat is requested to forward these recommendations to the CT6 focal points for action in advance of the 6th SOM:

- **Recognition of the Regional Activities Plan for 2010-2011.** The Regional Coordinated Plan consisting of implementation plans for nine priority actions that address the five CTI RPOA goals from this workshop would be presented to the SOM for support and recognition as official CTI activities towards implementing the RPOA.
- **Request for the continued implementation of the proposed activities for 2010-2011.** Request that the immediate “next steps” identified in the implementation plans for the nine priority actions be initiated or continued by the Regional Secretariat, CT6 countries, and partners, beginning with the Regional Secretariat delivering the results of this workshop and confirming responsible groups, i.e. lead countries and focal points, for implementing the priority action, before submission to the SOM.
- **Review, revision, and approval of the proposed initial Agenda Items for the 6th Senior Officials Meeting (SOM-6).** CT countries are requested to review the items proposed agenda items from the workshop for SOM-6 and send their comments and edits to the Regional Secretariat for inclusion in the SOM-6 planning process.
- **Review, revision, and approval of the proposed content of the First Annual CTI Report.** CT6 countries are requested to review, insert their comments and suggestions, and approve the proposed content of the First Annual CTI Report to the CT6 leaders.
- **Creation of a capacity building working group.** The need for greater coordination on the crosscutting theme of capacity building strategies, planning, and activities was recognized, and the proposal for the creation of a working group (formal or informal) to consider this is requested to be presented for consideration to the SOM.

ANNEXES

1. Final Agenda of the CTI Regional Priority Actions and Coordination Workshop
2. Summary of RPOA Actions with Country Priorities and Partner Strengths
3. Participant List: CTI Regional Priority Actions and Coordination Workshop - May 17-19, 2010
4. Detailed Notes from 12 Workshop Sessions Including the Breakout Discussions
5. Template for Describing Activity Implementation Plans for Priority Actions
6. Illustrative Types of Activities for Implementing Priority Actions
7. Outline of CTI First Annual Report (May 2009-Dec 2010)
8. Implementing Plans for CTI Regional Priority Actions (by Goal, and Action)

Annex 1: Final Agenda of the CTI Regional Priority Actions and Coordination Workshop



coral triangle initiative

CTI Regional Priorities Coordination Workshop 2010-2011 May 17-19, 2010 Jakarta, Indonesia AGENDA

Time	Day 1: MONDAY 17 May 2010	Chairs and Speakers
08:30-10:00	SESSION 1.1: PLENARY Opening and Workshop Orientation	
	• Opening by Chairman of CTI Regional Secretariat (20')	Dr. Sudirman Saad
	• Orientation to the Workshop (10')	Dr. Darmawan
	• Process of the Workshop (20')	Dr. Fedi Sondita
	• Introduction of Outline of Report (10')	
	• Discussion (30')	
10:00-10:20	Coffee Break	
10:20-12:00	SESSION 1.2: PLENARY Inputs to Identify Priority Regional Actions	Chair: Regional Secretariat
	• Panel of CT6 Speakers to present their Initial Prioritized 10 Regional Actions (6x10')	Country Representatives
	• Workshop Committee Speaker Reviews Materials from CT6 and Partner inputs with notes on Implication for Regional Activities (20')	Dr Fedi Sondita
	• Discussion (20')	
12:00-13:00	Lunch Break	
13:00-15:00	SESSION 1.3: 5 BREAK OUTS Prioritizing Regional Actions by CTI Goal	Facilitators: Dr Stacey Tighe (Seascope), Dr Tiene Gunawan (Fisheries), Dr Fedi Sondita (MPA); Dr Iwan Gunawan (CCA), Dr Darmawan (Threatened Species)
	• Introduction by Facilitator (15')	Experts: Dr Chris Wilcox (SS); Dr Alan White (MPA), Johan Bell (CCA); Britt Parker (CCA) Nives Mattich (CCA)
	• CT6 to Refine List of Prioritized Actions (45')	
	• CT6 and Partners discuss results and implications for implementation (45')	
	• Prepare Report for Plenary (15')	
15:00-15:30	Coffee Break	
15:30-17:00	SESSION 1.4: PLENARY Report and Discussion on Prioritized Actions from 5 GOALS	Chair: Regional Secretariat
	• Group Reports: (5 x 5')	Group Representatives
	• Discussion (30')	
	• Presentation on Translating Prioritized Actions into Activities (15')	Dr Fedi Sondita
	• Guidance on Developing Collective Activities (10')	
	• Q and A (10')	
17:00-19:00	DINNER BREAK ON YOUR OWN	
19:00-20:00	• CTI Partners Meeting: 7-9pm, BANGKA Room	
	• CT6 side meetings (optional): Banda B Plenary Room	

CTI Regional Priorities Coordination Workshop 2010-2011
May 17-19, 2010
Jakarta, Indonesia
AGENDA (continued)

7:30-8:30	LRFT Regional Theme Briefing (US Side Meeting for CT6 and Partners)	
Time	Day 2: TUESDAY 18 May 2010	Chairs and Speakers
08:30-10:00	<u>SESSION 2.1: 5 BREAK OUTS</u> Coordinated Planning on Regional Activities by CTI Goal	Facilitators: Dr Stacey Tighe (Seascope), Dr Tiene Gunawan (Fisheries), Dr Fedi Sondita (MPA), Dr Catherine Courtney (CCA), Dr Darmawan (Threatened Species) Experts: Dr Chris Wilcox (SS); Dr Alan White (MPA), Johan Bell (CCA); Britt Parker (CCA); Nives Mattich (CCA)
	• Review Process and Guidance, Define Teams	
	• Group Planning (60')	
	• Prepare Report to Plenary on Implementation Plan (20')	
10:00-10:20	Coffee Break	
10:20-12:00	<u>SESSION 2.2: PLENARY</u> Results: Coordinated Planning on Regional Activities By CTI Goal	Chair: Regional Secretariat
	• Introduction (10')	
	• Presentation by Groups (5x10')	Group Representatives
	• Responses and Inputs (30')	
	• Wrap Up/Summary (10')	
12:00-13:00	Lunch Break	<i>CCA Regional Theme Briefing (US Side Meeting for CT6 and Partners)</i>
13:00-15:00	<u>SESSION 2.3: PLENARY & BREAKOUTS</u> Crosscutting Themes and the Priority Actions/Activities	Chair: Regional Secretariat
	• Plenary to Introduce Cross Cutting Themes (30')	Dr Stacey Tighe
	• Breakout groups by Goal to Discuss the Crosscutting Themes (40')	Facilitators: Dr Stacy Tighe (Seascope), Dr Tiene Gunawan (Fisheries), Dr Catherine Courtney (CCA) Experts: Dr Chris Wilcox (Seascope); Dr Alan White (MPA), Johan Bell (CCA); Britt Parker (CCA); Nives Mattich (CCA)
	• Breakout groups by X-Cutting Themes to compile results, discuss synergies (30')	
	• Prepare Report to Plenary (10')	
15:00-15:30	Coffee Break	
15:30-17:00	<u>SESSION 2.4: PLENARY</u> Finalizing Collective Priority Actions	Chair: Regional Secretariat
	• Report on X-Cutting Summary and Recommended Synergies for Priority Actions (5x10')	Group Representatives
	• Discussion (30')	
	• Wrap Up and Summary (10')	
17:00-19:00	DINNER BREAK ON YOUR OWN	
19:00-20:00	• ADB Side Meeting: Invitation • Australia/CI Side Meeting: OPEN: "Discussion of Capacity Development for Marine Resource Management in the Coral Triangle" OPEN	

CTI Regional Priorities Coordination Workshop 2010-2011
May 17-19, 2010
Jakarta, Indonesia
AGENDA (continued)

7:30-8:30	MPA Regional Theme Briefing (US Side Meeting for CT6 and Partners)	
	Day 3: WEDNESDAY May 19 2010	Chairs and Speakers
08:30-10:00	<u>SESSION 3.1: PLENARY and BREAKOUTS</u>	
	Implementation Mechanisms for Priority Regional Activities	Chair: Regional Secretariat
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lessons on Implementation Mechanisms for Regional Actions/Activities (30') • Guidance (10') 	Dr Catherine Courtney (TBC)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informal breakouts by Activities to Develop Proposed Implementation Mechanisms (50') 	Facilitators: Dr Stacey Tighe, Dr Tiene Gunawan, Dr Alan White, Dr Catherine Courtney, TBA
10:00-10:20	Coffee Break	
10:20-12:00	<u>SESSION 3.2: PLENARY</u>	
	Refining Proposed Mechanism(s) for Regional Prioritized Collective Action(s)	Chair: Regional Secretariat
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reports from Activity Team (10 x 5') 	Activity Team Representatives
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion (30') • Wrap Up (10') 	
12:00-13:00	Lunch Break	
13:00-15:00	<u>SESSION 3.3: PLENARY</u>	
	Finalizing Regional Report and Planning for SOM-6	Chair: Regional Secretariat
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Finalize Regional Implementation Plan or Steps to do so (30') • Draft Outline and Plan for CTI Annual Report (30') • SOM-6 planning: Including invitation, agenda, materials (60') 	
15:00-15:30	Coffee Break	
15:30-17:00	<u>SESSION 3.4: PLENARY</u>	
	Closing of the Workshop	Chair: Regional Secretariat
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summary of Workshop (15') • Recommendation for SOM-6 (30') • Other CTI Regional Links recommended (30') • Closing Remarks (15') 	
17:00-19:00	DINNER BREAK ON YOUR OWN	
19:00-20:00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ADB Side Meeting: Invitation 	

Annex 2: Pre-Workshop Summary of RPOA Actions with Country Priorities and Partner Strengths

For the six Coral Triangle countries the "X"s mark their first and second priority regional actions. For the CTI Partners, the "X"s mark the partner's strengths and interest in supporting regional actions for that topic.

GOAL	Target	Action	GOAL/TARGET or ACTION	INDO	MAL	PNG	PHIL	Sol Isl	Tim Leste	A U S	U S A	U N D P	A D B	G E F	C I	T N C	W W F
G1	T0	0	"Priority Seascapes" Designed and Effectively Managed														
G1	T1	0	"Priority Seascape" Designed, with Investment Plans Completed and Sequenced														
G1	T1	1	Through regional collaboration, conduct Rapid Seascape Assessments for the entire region, in order to delineate seascape and identify priority seascapes for investment.	X		X		X		X		X		X			
G1	T1	2	Develop investment plans for all identified priority seascapes, including <i>joint</i> investment plans for those seascapes involving two or more countries.		X									X	X	X	
G1	T2	0	Marine and Coastal Resources within All "Priority Seascapes" are Being Sustainably Managed														
G1	T2	1	Adopt a general "model" for the sustainable management of seascapes.							X		X					
G1	T2	2	Establish seascape capacity-building and learning mechanisms.	X	X	X					X	X	X	X	X		
G1	T2	3	Through joint and single-country efforts, start to mobilize the financial resources necessary to support "priority seascape" programs (based on Seascape Investment Plans).		X		X						X	X			
G1	T2	4	Conduct periodic monitoring and evaluation of priority seascape programs.					X					X	X			

GOAL	Target	Action	GOAL/TARGET or ACTION	INDO	MAL	PNG	PHIL	Sol Isl	Tim Leste	AUS	USA	UNDP	ADB	GEF	CI	TNC	WWF
G2	T0	0	Ecosystem Approach to Management of Fisheries (EAFM) and Other Marine Resources Fully Applied														
G2	T1	0	Strong legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)														
G2	T1	1	Collaborate to develop a "common regional framework for legislation and policy" that would support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies, and regulations.				X	X			X	X				X	X
G2	T1	2	Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration.	X	X	X			X		X			X	X		X
G2	T1	3	Incorporate EAFM and payments for environmental services (PES) in bilateral and regional commitments on fisheries management.											X			X
G2	T2	0	Improve income, livelihoods and food security in an increasingly significant number of coastal communities across the region through a new sustainable coastal fisheries and poverty reduction initiative ("COASTFISH")														
G2	T2	1	Design and launch a region-wide CTI COASTFISH Initiative.	X					X	X					X		
G2	T2	2	Collaborate around the mobilization of significant new financial investments to support COASTFISH.		X									X			
G2	T2	3	Collaborate around technology and information sharing, lessons learned, and joint marketing of common products.			X		X		X				X			
G2	T3	0	Effective measures in place to help ensure exploitation of shared tuna stocks is sustainable, with tuna spawning areas and juvenile growth stages adequately protected														
G2	T3	1	Develop a collaborative work program on this topic that covers a wide range of activities, such as jointly supported research, information-sharing, strategies for protection of spawning and juvenile growth areas, and financing mechanism.		X	X						X	X	X			X
G2	T3	2	Establish an informal CTI Forum on Tuna Governance.														

GOAL	Target	Action	GOAL/TARGET or ACTION	INDO	MAL	PNG	PHIL	Sol Isl	Tim Leste	AUS	USA	UNDP	ADB	GEF	CI	TNC	WWF
G2	T4	0	A More Effective Management and More Sustainable Trade in Live-Reef Fish and Reef-Based Ornamentals Achieved														
G2	T4	1	Develop a collaborative work program on management of and international trade in coral reef-based fish and ornamentals.								X						X
G2	T4	2	Establish an informal CTI Forum on Management of and International Trade in Coral Reef-Based Organisms.								X						X

GOAL	Target	Action	GOAL/TARGET or ACTION	INDO	MAL	PNG	PHIL	Sol Isl	Tim Leste	AUS	USA	UNDP	ADB	GEF	CI	TNC	WWF
G3	T0	0	Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) Established and Effectively Managed														
G3	T1	0	Region-Wide Coral Triangle MPA System (CTMPAS) in Place and Fully Functional														
G3	T1	1	Jointly establish overall goals, objectives, principles, and operational design elements for a CTMPAS centered around priority MPA networks.	X		X		X		X	X			X			
G3	T1	2	Complete and endorse a comprehensive map of MPA networks to be included in CTMPAS.						X	X						X	
G3	T1	3	Build Capacity for effective management of the CTMPAS.		X	X		X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X
G3	T1	4	Collaborate around mobilizing sustainable financing for the CTMPAS.											X		X	X
G3	T1	5	Establish MPA networks, particularly those involving more than one country.	X	X		x							X	X	X	X
G3	T1	6	Establish a public / private partnership or working Group for engaging relevant industries in supporting CTMPAS.				x							X			X

GOAL	Target	Action	GOAL/TARGET or ACTION	INDO	MAL	PNG	PHIL	Sol Isl	Tim Leste	AUS	USA	UNDP	ADB	GEF	CI	TNC	WWF
G4	T1	0	Region-Wide Early Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation for The Near-Shore Marine and Coastal Environment and Small Island Ecosystem Developed and Implemented														
G4	T1	1	Identify the most important and immediate adaptation measures that should be taken across all Coral Triangle countries, based primarily on analyses using existing models.	X		X	X	X		X				X	X		
G4	T1	2	Identify the most important and immediate adaptation measures that could be taken in each CT country.		X				X					X		X	X
G4	T1	3	Complete and implement a Region-wide Early Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation.								X				X	X	X
G4	T1	4	Conduct capacity needs assessments and develop capacity building programs on climate change adaptation measures.	X	X	X		X		X	X			X		X	X
G4	T1	5	Mobilize financial resources to implement Region-wide Early Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation.								X						
G4	T2	0	Networked National Centers of Excellence on Climate Change Adaptation for Marine and Coastal Environments Are Established and Full Operation														
G4	T2	1	Collaborate around the design and implementation of a Pilot Phase for <i>National Centers of Excellence</i> .														

GOAL	Target	Action	GOAL/TARGET or ACTION	INDO	MAL	PNG	PHIL	Sol Isl	Tim Leste	AUS	USA	UNDP	ADB	GEF	CI	TNC	WWF
G5	T0	0	Threatened Species Status Improving														
G5	T1	0	Improve Status of Sharks, Sea Turtles, Seabirds, Marine Mammals, Corals, Seagrass, Mangroves, and Other identified Threatened Species														
G5	T1	1	Assess species status by supporting ongoing and new assessment programs.	X		X	X	X						X			
G5	T1	2	Complete and implement region-wide Sharks Conservation Action Plan.	X											X		
G5	T1	3	Complete and implement region-wide Sea Turtles Conservation Action Plan.		X				X								X
G5	T1	4	Complete and implementation region-wide Sea Bird Conservation Action Plan.														
G5	T1	5	Complete and Implementation region-wide Marine Mammals Conservation Action Plan.							X							
G5	T1	6	Complete and implement region-wide Conservation Action Plan for Targeted Reef Fish and Invertebrate Species that are threatened.														X
G5	T1	7	Complete and implement region-wide Invasives Action Plan on Biosecurity of Marine Species.														
G5	T1	8	Adopt and strengthen (i) local and national legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks; and (ii) regional and international agreements on threatened species, and put in place supporting networks and information management system.					X									X
G5	T1	9	Jointly develop and implement capacity building activities that support the above actions on threatened species.		X	X	X			X					X		

Annex 3: Participant List

CTI Regional Priority Actions and Coordination Workshop - May 17-19, 2010

Indonesia

Mr. Agus Dermawan
Director of Conservation and Marine
National Parks, Ministry of Marine Affairs
and Fisheries
Jl. Medan Merdeka Timur No.16, Jakarta
Pusat
agusder81@yahoo.com
Tel. +62-21-352 2045
Mobile. +62-815 870 0095

Dr. Jamaluddin Jompa
Executive Secretary, COREMAP II
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries
Jl. Medan Merdeka Timur No.16, Jakarta
Pusat
budhiman2004@yahoo.com
Tel. +62-21-830 5120

Ms. Wahyu Indraningsih
Assistant Deputy of Pollution Control for
Coasts and Marine
Ministry of Environment
Jl. DI. Panjaitan, KAV.24, Kebon Nanas,
Jakarta 13410
windraningsih@yahoo.com
Tel. +62-21-85904929

Mr. Harry Santoso
Director of Forest Protected Areas, DG
Protected Areas
Ministry of Forestry
Gedung Manggala Wanabakti Blok I 3rd fl,
Jalan Gatot Subroto, Senayan, Jakarta
10270
harryst@cbn.net.id
harryst@dephut.go.id
Tel. +62-21-572 0227
Mobile. +62-816 134 3244

Ms. Sri Atmini
Head of Program Division, Marine, Coastal
and Small Island Directorate
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries
Jl. Medan Merdeka Timur No.16, Jakarta
Pusat
s_atmini@yahoo.com
Tel. +62-21-352 2560
Mobile. +62-812 804 1242

Ms. Ahsanal Kasasiah
Head of Conservation Area Rehabilitation
Sub Directorate
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries
Jl. Medan Merdeka Timur No.16, Jakarta
Pusat
akasasiah@yahoo.com
Tel. +62-21-352 2045

Ms. Zulhasni
Head of Marine Ecosystem Protection
Ministry of Environment
Jl. DI. Panjaitan, KAV.24, Kebon Nanas,
Jakarta 13410
zulhasi@menlh.go.id
Tel. +62-21-8590 4929

Ms. Sri Yanti Wibisana
Director of Marine and Fisheries
National Planning Agency (BAPPENAS)
Jl.Taman Suropati No.2, Jakarta 10310
sriyanti@bappenas.go.id
Tel. +62-21-310 7960

Dr. Tiene Gunawan
Senior Policy Specialist - CTI
Conservation International
Jalan Pejaten Barat No. 16 A,
Jakarta 12550
tgunawan@conservation.org
Tel. +62-21-78832564
Mobile. +62-811 110914

Mr. Imran Amin
Marine Policy Coordinator
The Nature Conservancy
Graha Iskandarsyah 3rd Floor, Jl.
Iskandarsyah Raya No. 66C, Kebayoran
Baru, Jakarta Selatan
mamin@tnc.org
Tel. +62-21-72792043
Mobile. +62-811-112321

M. Zulficar Mochtar
Member of EAFM Working Group
CTI CFF Indonesia
mzulficar@cbn.net.id
Mobile. +62-815-8428 2907

Ms. Dian Nirmalasari
Member of EAFM Working Group
Ministry of Foreign Affairs
Jl. Pejambon No.6. Jakarta 10110
dian_1610@yahoo.com
Tel. +62-21-381 1083

Ms. Moestika Panca Dewiani
Directorate Intra Regional Cooperation for
Asia Pacific & Africa Region
Ministry of Foreign Affairs
Jl. Pejambon No.6.
Jakarta Pusat, 10110
moestikapd@deplu.go.id

Mr. F.P. Budiasih
Planning Bureau, Secretariat General
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries
Jl. Medan Merdeka Timur No.16, Jakarta
Pusat
budiasih@dkp.go.id
Mobile. +62-811-131 336

Mr. Ahmadi
Training Center
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries
Jl. Medan Merdeka Timur No.16, Jakarta
Pusat
ahmadisan@gmail.com

Mr. Waluyo Susanto
Head of General Planning
Planning Bureau
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries
Jl. Medan Merdeka Timur No.16, Jakarta
Pusat
waluyosusanto@hotmail.com
Tel. +62-812-822 7895

Mr. Hary Christijanto
Directorate of Fish Resources,
Directorate General of Capture Fisheries
hchristijanto@yahoo.com
Tel. +62-21-395 3008

Malaysia

Prof. Nor Aieni Haji Mokhtar
Under Secretary/Director
National Oceanography Directorate,
Ministry of Science, Technology and
Innovation (MOSTI)
Level 6, Block C4, Federal Government
Administrative Center 62662 Putrajaya
noraieni@mosti.gov.my
Tel. +60-3-8885-8201
Mobile. +60-12-3905359

Dr. Chitdrakantan Subramaniam
Assistant Secretary
National Oceanography Directorate
(NOD), Ministry of Science, Technology
and Innovation (MOSTI)
Level 6, Block C4, Federal Government
Administrative Center 62662 Putrajaya
schitdra@mosti.gov.my
Tel. +603-8885-8207
Mobile. +60-12-3000917

Mr. Paul Basintal
Director
Sabah Parks
Block K, Sinsuran Complex, P. O. BOX
10626, 88806, Kota Kinabalu
Paul.Basintal@sabah.gov.my
Tel. +60-88-211524
Mobile. +60-19-8510808

Dr. Norasma Dacho
Section Head, Conservation and
Environment
Department of Fisheries Sabah
Level 4, Block B, Wisma Pertanian
Sabah, 88624 Kota Kinabalu
norasmadacho@gmail.com
Mobile. +60-138-848-774

Dr. Ejria Binti Saleh
Lecturer
Borneo Marine Research Institute,
Universiti Malaysia Sabah
88999 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah
Malaysia
ejsaleh@ums.edu.my
Tel. +6088-320000 ext 2594
Mobile. +6019-8801702

Papua New Guinea

Ms. Yvonne Tio
Executive Manager
Marine Environment Division, Department
of Environment and Conservation
Somare Foundation House
PO Box 6601, Boroko
ytio@dec.gov.pg
Tel. +675 325-0195

Ms. Roselyn Gwaibo
Principal Legal Officer
Department of Environment and
Conservation, Papua New Guinea

Philippines

Ms. Jessica Munoz
Supervising Aquaculturist
Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic
Resources, Department of Agriculture
PCA Building, Elliptical Road, Diliman,
Quezon City
trisha975@yahoo.com,
jmunoz@bfar.da.gov.ph
Tel. +632-4735561
Mobile. +639175276524

Ms. Lynette Laroya
SrEcosystems Management Specialist
Protected Areas and Wildlife Bureau,
Department of Environment and Natural
Resources
NAPWC, Quezon Avenue, Diliman,
Quezon City
lynette_laroya@yahoo.com
Tel. +632- 9258947
Mobile. +63-9272679598

Ms. Annabelle Trinidad
Senior Manager for Policy and
Development
Conservation International
Cabanatan Road, Philam Homes
Quezon City Philippines
a.trinidad@conservation.org
Tel. +63-29248235
Mobile. +63-29175299041

Ms. Luz Baskinas
VP for Project Development
WWF Philippines
4th Floor JBD Plaza, 65 Mindanao
Avenue, Bagong Pag-asa , Quezon City
lbaskinas@wwf.org.ph
Tel. +63-2-920 7923/26
Mobile. + 63-9189100250

Solomon Islands

Ms. Nelly Kere
WWF-CTI Policy Officer
WWF Solomon Islands
PO BOX 1373 , SIDT Building, New
Chinatown, Honiara
nzkere@solomon.com.sb
Tel. +677-28023

Mr. James Teri
Deputy Director
Ministry of Fisheries and Marine
jteri@fisheries.gov.sb

Timor-Leste

Mr. Julio da Cruz
Head of Aquaculture Department
Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries
Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries
Building, Comoro, Dili
dacruz_julio@yahoo.com
Mobile. +670-731-2323

Mr. Celestino Da Cunha Barreto
Senior Ecosystems Management
Specialist
National Directorate for Fisheries and
Aquaculture, Department of Fisheries
Resource Management, Ministry of
Agriculture and Fisheries Building,
Comoro, Dili
celes_fish70@yahoo.com
+670-740 5885

PARTNERS

Australia

Mr. Travis Bover
Director, Marine Policy Development
Australian Government Department of the
Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts
(DEWHA)
GPO Box 787, Canberra, ACT
Australia 2601
Travis.Bover@environment.gov.au
Tel. +61 2 6274 2525
Mobile. +61 409 451 836

Ms. Candice Mohan
Senior Policy Officer, Marine Policy
Development
Australian Government Department of the
Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts
(DEWHA)
5 Farrell Place, Canberra
Candice.mohan@environment.gov.au
Tel. +61 2 6274 2485
Mobile. +61 433 743 515

Ms. Ceri Teather
Program Manager, Forest, Adaptation and
Biodiversity, AusAID
AusAID
GPO Box 887 Canberra ACT
Australia 2601
Ceri.Teather@ausaid.gov.au
Tel. +61 2 6206 4070

Asian Development Bank

Dr. Annadel Cabanban
 Marine Biologist/Fisheries Expert,
 ADB CTI SEA Project, ASB
 6 ADB Avenue, Mandaluyong City 1550,
acabanban.consultant@adb.org
 Mobile. (63) 929 158 2254

Mr. Gary Vigers
 Team Leader, ADB-CTI
 Asian Development Bank
 6 ADB Avenue, Mandaluyong City 1550
gary@vigers.ca
 Mobile. +63 915 680 0704

Dr. Marissa Garcia
 Coastal & Marine Resources Expert, ADB
 CTI Project
 Asian Development Bank
 6 ADB Avenue, Mandaluyong City 1550
magarcia.consultant@adb.org
 Tel. + 63 2 632 4444

Ms. Elvira Ablaza
 President & CEO, Primex, Inc.
 Primex, Inc.
 502 Manila Luxury Condominium, Pearl
 Dr, Pearl Drive Ortigas Center, Pasig City
ecablaza@primexinc.org
 Tel. +63 2 633 9052
 Mobile. +63 917 883 9696

Dr. David McCauley
 Principal Climate Change Specialist
 6 ADB Avenue, Mandaluyong City 1550,
 Philippines
dmccauley@adb.org
 +632-6325423
 +63917-530-3060

Prof. Visvanathan Kuperan
 [other contact info]

USA

Mr. Todd Dubois
 Assistant Director
 NOAA Office of Law Enforcement
 8484 Georgia Avenue, Suite 415
 Silver Spring, MD 20910
todd.dubois@noaa.gov
 Tel. +1-301-427-2300
 Mobile. +1-204-478-9512

Ms. Janna Shackeroff
 International Coordinator, NOAA/Coral
 Reef Conservation Program
 1305 East-West Highway, SSMC-4 Room
 10330, Silver Spring, MD 20910
Janna.shackeroff@noaa.gov
 Tel. +1-301-713-3155 x 143

Mr. Peter Collier
 Chief of Party
 US CTI Support Program Integrator
 Chartered Square Building, 29th Floor Unit
 2902, 152 North Sathorn Road, Bangrak,
 Bangkok 10500
 Thailand
pcollier@uscti.org
 Tel. +66-2637-8518 Ext. 20
 Mobile. +66-87-684-7110

Mr. Pahala Nainggolan
 Deputy Chief of Party
 CTSP
 One Wolter Place M Floor
 Jl. Wolter Mongonsidi No. 63B, Kebayoran
 Baru, Jakarta Selatan
pahala.nainggolan@usctsp.org
 Tel. +62 21 7394457
 Mobile. +62 811928700

Mr. Alfred Nakatsuma
 Senior Environment Advisor
 USAID-Indonesia
 Jl. Medan Merdeka Selatan 3-5, Jakarta
 10110
 Indonesia
anakatsuma@usaid.gov
 Tel. 62 21 3435 9434
 Mobile. +62 812 917 8395

UNDP

Mr. Jose Erez Padilla
 Regional Technical Advisor
 UNDP
 Bangkok
 Thailand
jose.padilla@undp.org
 Tel. +66-2288-2730
 Mobile. +66-8-0604 4435

Conservation International

Mr. Scott Atkinson
 Regional Manager
 Conservation International
 P.O. Box 283255, Honolulu, HI 96828
 USA
s.atkinson@conservation.org
 Mobile. +1-808-342-2335

Ms. Niquole Esters
CTI Regional Coordinator
Conservation International
2011 Crystal Drive, Suite 500
Arlington, VA 22202
USA
niquole.esters@gmail.com
Mobile. +1-301-525-3135

The Nature Conservancy

Dr. Alan White
Senior Scientist/CTI Coordinator
The Nature Conservancy
923 Nu'uanu Avenue, Honolulu, Hawaii
96817
USA
Alan_white@tnc.org
Tel. +1-808-262-1091
Mobile. +1-808-457-9771

Mr. Egide Cantin
Senior Conservation Finance Specialist,
TNC Asia Pacific Resource Center
The Nature Conservancy
51 Edmonstone Street, South Brisbane,
QLD, 4101
Australia
ecantin@tnc.org
Tel. +61-7-3214-6900
Mobile. +61-448-630-003

Ms. Rili Djohani
Director, Partner & Government
Relations, Coral Triangle Program/CTC
The Nature Conservancy
Jl. Pengembak No.2 Sanur, Bali 80228
Indonesia
rdjohani@tnc.org
Tel. 0361-287272
Mobile. 0812-381-4681

Mr. Abdul Halim
Director, Indonesia Marine Program The
Nature Conservancy
Jl. Pengembak No.2 Sanur, Bali 80228
Indonesia
Tel. 0361-287272
Mobile. 0812-366-1515

Ms. Eleanor Carter
Senior Advisor
The Nature Conservancy
Jl. Pengembak No.2 Sanur, Bali 80228
Indonesia
ecarter@tnc.org,
carter.ed.consulting@gmail.com
Mobile. +62-812-389-7515

WWF

Ms. Evangelian (Luli) Arroyo
Policy Lead, Coral Triangle Programme
WWF Coral Triangle Programme
WWF, -4/F JBD Plaza, 65 Mindanao Ave,
1105 Quezon City
Philippines
larroyo@wwf.org.ph
Tel. +679 3315533 ext 128
Mobile. 61 424519449

Ms. Jackie Thomas
Pacific Policy Officer
WWF- South Pacific Program,
WWF South Pacific Programme, Private
Mail Bag, 4 Ma'afu Street, Suva
Fiji
jthomas@wwfpacific.org.fj
Tel. +679 3315533 ext 128
Mobile. + 61 424519449

Dr. Geoffrey Muldoon
Strategy Leader, CTNI
WWF Indonesia
Jalan Raya Petitenget No. 22, Seminyak,
Bali 80235
Indonesia
geoffrey.muldoon@wwf.panda.org
Tel. +62 361 730 185
Mobile. +62 811 380047

Mr. Taswin Munier
CTI Coordinator, WWF Indonesia
Kantor Taman A9, Unit A-1, Jl. Mega
Kuningan Lot 8-9/A9, Kawasan Mega
Kuningan, Jakarta 12950
Indonesia
tmunier@wwf.or.id
Tel. +62 21 576 1070
Mobile. +62-811-1997 546

Invited Resources

Dr. Chris Wilcox
Senior Research Scientist
CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research
Australia
Tel. +61 3 6232 5306
Mobile. +61 4 3939 7317

Dr. Johann Bell
Senior Fisheries Scientist, Strategic
Engagement, Policy and Planning Facility
Secretariat of the Pacific Community
B.P. D5, 98848, Noumea Cedex
New Caledonia
johannb@spc.int

Ms. Britt Parker
Coral Program Specialist
NOAA
E/RA31; SSMC1; 5307, 1335 East West
Hwy, Silver Spring, MD 20906
USA
Britt.parker@noaa.gov
Tel. +1-301-713-2857
Mobile. +1-804-695-6015

Dr. Catherine Courtney
Senior Marine Environmental Scientist
US CTI Support Program Integrator
737 Bishop St. Suite 3010, Honolulu, HI
96813
USA
Kitty.courtney@tetrattech.com
Tel. +1-808-441-6612

OBSERVER

Mr. John Tanzer
Consultant
Australian CTI Alliance
C/ James Cook University , Townsville,
Queensland
Australia
jmtanzer@bigpond.com
Tel. +61 407 746 075

Regional Secretariat

Dr. Sudirman Saad
Acting Director General of Ocean, Coastal
and Small Islands
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries
Jl. Medan Merdeka Timur No.16, Jakarta
Pusat

Mr. Matheus Eko Rudianto
Director of Marine Spatial, Coastal And
Small Islands
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries
Mina Bahari III Building, 9th fl
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries
Medan Merdeka Timur 16
Jakarta

Dr. Darmawan
Coordinator
CTI Regional Secretariat
Mina Bahari II Bldg, 7th Fl., Ministry of
Marine Affairs and Fisheries, Medan
Merdeka Timur 16, Jakarta
Darmawan@indo.net.id
Mobile. +62-816-951-094

Workshop Planning Team

Dr. Fedi Sondita
Senior Workshop Technical Advisor
Conservation International
Jl. Mesjid Albarokah Rt 2 Rw 3 No. 7,
Ciherang, Darmaga, Bogor 16680
Indonesia
fsondita@indo.net.id
msondita@indo.net.id
Mobile. +62-811113806

Dr. Stacey Tighe
Senior Regional Coordinator
US CTI Support Program Integrator
(Based in Jakarta)
Chartered Square Building, 29th Floor Unit
2902, 152 North Sathorn Road, Bangrak,
Bangkok 10500, Thailand
stighe@uscti.org
Indonesian Mobile. +62-811-909-376

Mr. Suharyanto
Head of Sub – Directorate from
Directorate of Marine Spatial, Coastal And
Small Islands
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries
Mina Bahari III Building, 9th fl
Medan Merdeka Timur 16
Jakarta
Indonesia

Mr. Perry Inkiriwang
Interim CTI Secretariat
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries

Mr. Hadi Yoga Dewanto
Interim CTI Secretariat
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries

Mr. Agung Tri Prasetyo
Interim CTI Secretariat
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries

Mr. Alexander M.A. Khan
Workshop Technical Support
US CTI Support Program Integrator
amakmy@yahoo.com
Mobile. +62 81360444660,
+62 81380000210

Ms. Rifka Nur Anisah
Interim CTI Secretariat
Mina B Ministry of Marine Affairs and
Fisheries

Ms. Farida Adriyani (Dian)
Interim CTI Secretariat
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries

Ms. Ira Firyani
CTSP RPO
ira.feryani@usctsp.org

Ms. Fitri Lubis
The Nature Conservancy
flubis@tnc.org

Ms. Yustina Amelia Espenhana
Interpreter for Timor-Leste Delegation
US CTI Support Program Integrator
chere_mignonne@yahoo.com
Mobile. +62-818-880-459,
+62-881-126-0894

Ms. Devi Kausar
Rappateur
Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries
devikausar@gmail.com
Mobile. +62 81610-4592

**NOTE-TAKERS (Ministry of Marine Affairs
and Fisheries, Indonesia)**

Mr. Yusuf Eko Buditomo
yosip2000@gmail.com

Ms. Nurifitri Syadiah
nurffitri80@gmail.com

Ms. Denisah
deenisa77@yahoo.com

Ms. Lia Kusumawati
dilit599@yahoo.com

Mr. Djaelani
jaekeren@yahoo.co.id

Mr. Muhendis
dkp@dkp.go.id

Mr. Yogi Yanuar
yogiyanuari@gmail.com

Ms. Dina
Dina_yunita@hotmail.com

Annex 5: Detailed Notes from 12 Workshop Sessions and Breakouts

Session 1.1 Detailed Notes

No additional notes were produced.

Session 1.2 Detailed Notes

Executive Summary This session provided opportunities for each CT6 country to present their priority actions and also any progress or development concerning CTI. Presentations were arranged in alphabetical order, and all countries have identified their priority actions.

Results

Indonesia: National Priorities from NPOA

Goal 1

- Conduct a series of scientific characterizations to identify and delineate seascapes with potential transboundary issue.
- Implement existing regional seascapes (SSME and BSSE) program within Indonesian jurisdiction.
- Conduct periodic monitoring and evaluation on the effectiveness of seascapes

Goal 2

- Address and enforce legislation and regulations in combating IUU fishing and related issues
- Revitalize tuna fisheries through increasing capital technical capacity of actors at different level, marketing, field monitoring, processing, as well as cold chain system

Goal 3

- Establish and strengthen national system (grand strategy) of Marine Protected Areas integrated into regional and global networks to contribute to meet global agreed goals
- Build capacity and strengthen institution for the planning, establishment, and management of MPA
- Evaluate and improve effective management of national MPA systems

Goal 4

- Conduct and develop strategic research that provides information critical to reducing key threats to coral reef ecosystem
- Capacity building on education, research, and information system on climate change issues, related consequences, and adaptation measures

Goal 5

- Support assessment of sharks, sea turtles and cetaceans and selected marine invertebrates and plants.
- Implement the National Plan of Action for shark

Malaysia

The presentation included: National Oceanography Directorate (NOD) as the key organization, various programs that the NOD has embarked on, and list of nine priority actions as follows:

Goal 1

- Jointly develop investment plans with seascape partners for all identified Priority Seascapes (including the existing SSME seascape)

- Strengthen coordination amongst the Sabah Environment Education Network (SEEN) (comprising policy- makers, practitioners, planners, and trainers from government agencies, NGOs, and private sector) to undertake Environmental Education efforts in Sabah.

Goal 2

- Establish a national policy on EAFM.
- Undertake education and public awareness programs to foster better understanding among the general public of the multiple values of mangrove forests.
- Share information on existing legislation and policies for the management of tuna and small pelagic as an SSME initiative, share
- Implement seasonal closure of spawning aggregation areas.

Goal 3

- Establish and enforce additional marine sanctuaries (no-take zones).

Goal 4

- Strengthen coordination amongst the Sabah Environment Education Network (SEEN) (comprising policy- makers, practitioners, planners, and trainers from government agencies, NGOs, and private sector) to undertake Environmental Education efforts in Sabah.

Goal 5

- Identify cultural and economic uses of endangered species (both consumptive and non-consumptive), and assess the level and impact of traditional harvest, with particular attention to shark fins and turtle eggs.

Philippines

Philippines identified ten initial prioritized regional actions as follows:

- Support the coordination and joint implementation of the SSME Conservation Plan and other priority seascape plans, in areas where seascape programs fall within the key objectives and programs of the CTI. (Goal 1. Target 2. Action 4.)
- Finalize, adopt and implement the National Action Plan for IUU fishing and contribute to regional efforts addressing IUU fishing including formulation of laws and regional agreements addressing IUU fishing. (Goal 2. Target 1. Action 5.)
- Formulate national implementing rules and regulations on fishing capacity, a vessel monitoring system, FADs, observer programs and by catch monitoring. (Goal 2. Target 3. Action 2.)
- Build capacity, funding and programs for tuna and small pelagic stocks assessment, for both national and trans-regional stocks. (Goal 2. Target 3. Action 6.)
- Develop and implement LRF management/ strategic plans at the local, national and trans-regional levels encompassing the length of supply chains (Goal 2. Target 4. Action 1.)
- Conduct assessment and develop management schemes for reef-based ornamentals. (Goal 2. Target 4. Action 7.)
- Strengthen capacity of LGUs and support services of the management effectiveness (can link to: Establish appropriate economic instruments for regulatory generating objectives, include use of valuation studies as basis for policies, fines and fiscal and other economic instruments, environmental users' fee systems and incentive systems). (Goal 3. Target 1. Action 5 to Goal 3. Target 1. Action 6.)
- Conduct vulnerability and risk assessments due to climate change impacts and formulate CC Adaptation plan/ measures) with emphasis on ocean acidification and anthropogenic impacts (Goal 4. Target 1. Action 1, with Goal 4. Target 1. Action 4.)
- Conduct red list assessment for priority marine species (Goal 5. Target 1. Action 1.)

- Support the establishment of the National Committee on Marine Turtles, Cetaceans and Dugongs and adopt and implement the National Plans of Actions for the Conservation and Management of Marine Turtles, Cetaceans and Dugongs. (Goal 5. Target 1. Action 3.)

Solomon Islands

Solomon Island identified ten priorities:

- Goal 1 target 1 actions 1 and 4
- Goal 2 target 1 action 1; target 2 action 3
- Goal 3 target 1 action 1 and 3
- Goal 4 target 1 action 1 and 4
- Goal 5 target 1 action 1 and 8

Timor Leste

Timor Leste identified seven priorities. The country's territorial waters are too small (area-wise) to have seascapes).

Goal 2

- Timor-Leste has taken the first steps to start enforcing IUU Fishing.
- COASTFISH

Goal 3

- Government has endorsed works in 5 areas declared as biologically important in the Lesser Sunda Marine Bioregion.
- Government has, with the support of CTSP conducted an exchange program, aimed at building capacity to manage LMMA and LMMA networks
- Government is about to initiate engaging communities in Batugadé (important area in the Lesser Sunda bioregion) envisioning a possible
- Transboundary LMMA or MPA

Goal 4

- Timor-Leste is in the process of working its NAPA (National Adaptation Plan of Action); NAPA will provide a list of most important and immediate adaptation measures for Timor-Leste

Goal 5

- Government hopes to get CTSP support for a Turtle National Plan.
- Government hope to, with the support of CTSP conduct the cost-benefit analysis for adhesion to Ramsar and CITES.

Session 1.3 Detailed Notes

BREAKOUTS: Prioritizing Regional Actions by CTI Goal

Breakout groups by CTI Goal identified the regional priority actions for their goal. The results of the goal teams' breakout sessions are collected by goal at the end of these session summaries.

Session 1.4 Detailed Notes

PLENARY: Report and Discussion on Prioritized Actions from Five Goals

Executive Summary

This session followed the breakout sessions in which country representatives, partners, and facilitators sat together and decided on prioritized actions from each of the five goals. The session format was

presentations by the five breakout groups – each representing one of the CTI goals. Each group presented one to three actions that they considered to be the highest priority for achieving their goal, and invited comments from others on the recommendations.

Some of the important and still pending issues discussed in the plenary included:

- For Goal 2 (EAFM), there was a comment from an Indonesian delegate that the priority actions selected are all related, especially the three actions under Target 2. Therefore, he suggested putting all of Target 2 as the “priority actions” instead of Target 2, Action 3 only.
- There were several comments on “capacity building”, and although not always a *regional* action, was considered so critical that participants recommended that capacity-building actions be prioritized and implemented immediately.
- On threatened species, the team considered each country’s readiness when choosing the priority actions for threatened species.

Results: Priority Actions

Goal 1 Seascapes

Target 2. Action 1. Adopt a general “model” for sustainable management of seascapes

Goal 2 EAFM

Target 1. Action 2. Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration

Target 1. Action 1. Collaborate to develop a “common regional framework for legislation and policy” that would support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies and regulations.

Target 2. Action 3. Collaborate around technology and information sharing, lessons learned, and joint marketing of common products.

Goal 3 MPA

Target 1. Action 3: Build capacity for effective management of the CTMPAS (Coral Triangle Marine Protected Area System)

Goal 4 Climate Change

Target 1. Action 1. Identify the most important and immediate adaptation measures that should be taken across all Coral Triangle countries, based primarily on analyses using existing models

Target 1. Action 4. Conduct capacity needs assessments and develop capacity building programs on climate change adaptation measures

Goal 5 Threatened Species

Target 1. Action 3: Complete and implement region-wide Sea Turtles Conservation Action Plan

Target 1. Action 5: Complete and implement region-wide Marine Mammals Conservation Action Plan.

Session 2.1 Detailed Notes

BREAKOUTS: Coordinated Planning on Regional Activities by CTI Goal

Session 2.1 began with a brief plenary to review the results from the first day and to introduce the template for teams to complete to describe the Activity Implementation Plans for their Priority Actions. (See **Annex 5**)

Session 2.2 Detailed Notes

Plenary Results: Coordinated Planning on Regional Activities By CTI Goal

This session opened the second day of the workshop with a review of what had been achieved the day before, i.e. chosen priority actions from each CTI goal. One important suggestion that came up at this session was for the participants to ensure the “regionality” of the actions.

The session continued with briefings on activity plan for breakouts to the plenary, and discussion on how to define crosscutting activities in regional action planning. The activity plan for breakouts

consisted of reviewing action(s) chosen, the approach, what activity or activities were needed, who the key players were, and identifying sequence of activities. The group members could then work together to break into action teams (two to three people per action) to complete one or more activity plans. The next process was for these small teams to report to the rest of goal team, and to prepare for the report for the plenary.

Report to the plenary took the form of “round robin”, in which goal teams rotate through the five goal “Stations” (10 minutes each). The facilitator introduced the activities for five minutes. Another five minutes was for the visiting groups to give comments and ask questions.

On defining crosscutting activities, the morning briefing introduced classes of crosscutting themes that had been applied in different CTI venues.

Results

Guidelines were provided to each group on how to proceed to define activities for each goal’s priority actions and how to identify crosscutting activities in regional planning.


Session 2.3 Detailed Notes

Plenary and Breakouts: Crosscutting Themes and the Priority Actions/Activities

Dr. Stacey Tighe, workshop advisor, presented a compilation and the comparative “popularity” or frequency of use for various types of crosscutting themes in CTI documents and workshops (National Plans of Action, the Townsville (2008) and Bali (2009) workshops, etc.). The results, presented below, indicate that Capacity Building was by far the most often identified crosscutting theme, followed by the close grouping of Policy and Governance, Sustainable Financing, Community-Based Integrated Coastal Management, and Knowledge Management. The outcome of this session helped the participants identify ways to potentially facilitate coordination among the activities thereby increasing implementation efficiency, and to facilitate various organizations in recognizing ways to contribute to the CTI implementation. There was little discussion about this result’s significance, as the primary purpose was to select a set of crosscutting themes for the workshop to use in reviewing the regional priority actions and their implementation activity plans for crosscutting synergies and linkages.

	X CUTTING THEME	SoI Is NPOA	PHIL NPOA	Bali Wksh	Towns ville	US Wkplan	ADB	TOTAL
CAPACITY BUILDING	Capacity Building	X	X	X	X	X	X	4.5
	IEC	X	X					1.5
	Institutional Strengthening			X	X			2
	Best Practices				X			1
GOV	Policy/Governance/ Legal	X	X		X	X		4
	Enforcement		X					1
COMM DEVEL	CBRM-Community Based Resources Management	X						1
	Community Engagement				X			1
	Livelihoods				X			1
	Gender/Pop/Health					X		1
FINANCE	Public – Private partnership					X		1
	Sustainable Financing			X	X	X	X	4
KNOWL MGT	Knowledge Mgt/ Dec Support	X		X		X	X	4
	Research		X					1

The results from the table are summarized in the Figure below that indicate the most popular crosscutting themes by number of “hits” or number of CTI RPOA and draft NPOA planning documents that highlight each crosscutting theme.



With this introduction, the goal teams returned to their breakout sessions to consider which of these five key crosscutting issues was relevant to their various implementation plans for their goal's priority actions. This session was also the final opportunity for the groups to amend their activities, and indeed, based on their work there were a few small evolutionary changes in the selection of priority actions and planned activities.

Session 2.4 Detailed Notes

Plenary: Finalizing Collective Priority Actions

Teams reported back the crosscutting activities tabulated from the individual activities that they had proposed for implementing their priority actions.

Frequency of Crosscutting Themes Included in CTI Regional Priority Activity Plans

This result of Session 2.4 summarizes the goal, target, and action numbers from the CTI RPOA, with the activity numbers identified by this workshop for each goal's implementation plan, and notes whether the proposed activity has any overlap with five crosscutting themes. Capacity-building and knowledge management (highlighted column headings) were the two most frequent crosscutting themes linked to the proposed implementation activities for the nine prioritized regional actions under the RPOA.

GOAL #	T #	Action #	Activity #	Capacity Bldg	Governance & Policy	Community-Based Dev	Sustainable Finance	Knowledge Mgt
Goal 1	T2	A1	0	1	1			1
			1	1	1			1
			2	1	1		1	1
			3	1	1	1	1	1
Goal 2	T1	A2	All 6		1			
	T1	A1	All 3		1			

GOAL #	T #	Action #	Activity #	Capacity Bldg	Governance & Policy	Community-Based Dev	Sustainable Finance	Knowledge Mgt
Goal 3	T1	A1	1	1				1
			2	1			1	1
			3				1	
		A3	1	1				1
			2	1				1
			3	1				1
Goal 4	T1	A1	1	1		1	1	1
		A3/A4	1		1			
Goal 5	T1	A3	1	1	1		1	1
			2	1			1	1
		A5	1	1	1		1	1
			2	1			1	1
TOTALS	5	9	?	14	9	2	9	13

Session 3.1 Detailed Notes

Plenary and Break Outs: Implementation Mechanisms for Priority Regional Activities

There were no additional notes for this session

Session 3.2 Detailed Notes

Plenary: Refining Proposed Mechanism(s) for Regional Prioritized Collective Action(s)

There were no additional notes for this session

Session 3.3 Detailed Notes

Plenary: Finalizing Regional Report and Planning for SOM-6

CTI First Annual Report (May 2009-Dec 2010) OUTLINE

A few comments were made regarding the draft Outline Presented. These included:

- **Background** will include SOM-6 and Ministerial 3, 2010, and will highlight progress of activity of CT6 countries NPOAs.
- Other Changes include:

PART	ORIGIN TITLE	PROPOSED TITLE	
3	Regional Progress on CTI	Status of RPOA's Implementation	
3.b.6	National Progress on CTI	Status of NPOA's implementation	Followed the structure
5	Future Activities for 2010-2011		
6	Challenges for the CTI	Lesson learned	
7			Delete
8			Delete

The completed Draft Outline for the first annual report is seen in Annex 7.

Presentation of USCTI Website / Portal

No additional notes for this part of session

Malaysia noted that they have also created a website for CTI.

Planning for SOM 6

- Proposed agenda for SOM:
 - Results of the Regional Priority Actions and Coordination Workshop
 - Country updates (format to be provided by secretariat)
 - Are partners needed in SOM? → WWF, USAID, Australia, ADB, TNC, CI → agreed by CT6 to invite
 - Discussion on Mechanism/SOP in accepting new members (Countries and Partners) to CTI
- Issues from discussions:
 - Question from WWF: how about we ask new member (country and partner) to present their activities and program for CTI
 - Question from Malaysia: could we have the date of SOM?
 - Options were end of July or September. CT6 countries' representatives and partners were given opportunity to speak their preference.
 - Question of who would prepare for the Ministerial Meeting.

Report on CTI Regional Priority Actions and Coordination Workshop

- Prioritized 37 actions into 9
 - G1: SS: Seascape model
 - G2: EAFM: IUU Training
 - G2: EAFM: Policy Coordination
 - G3: CTMPAS: design and Ops
 - G3: Capacity Building
 - G4: Policy Coordination
 - G4: Technical Exchange
 - G5: Sea turtle plan → Ministerial 4 (next year)
 - G5: Marine Mammal Plan → Ministerial 4 (next year)
- Results of regional work
 - Nine agreed actions to be implemented regionally
 - Leads have been determined
 - Core funds has been identified
 - Next actions for each team have been identified
 - Mechanism vary by activity, for example two countries co-lead
- Other results
 - Plans for SOM agenda
 - Discussion of CTI Annual Report Outline

Session 3.4 Detailed Notes

Plenary: Closing of the Workshop

No additional notes on this session

BREAKOUT SESSION NOTES

For each of the goal teams, the break out detailed notes are captured here in one place as the discussions sometimes evolved continuously from one breakout session to another.

Goal 1 Break Out Session Notes

Session 1.3 Break Outs: Prioritizing Regional Actions by CTI Goal

Goal 1: Priority Seascapes Designated and Effectively Managed

Facilitator: Dr. Stacey Tighe, US CTI Support Program

Goal Team Members:

Indonesia:	Dr. Jamal Jompa
Malaysia:	Dr. Chitdrakantan Subramaniam
Papua New Guinea:	-
Philippines:	Ms. Annabelle Trinidad
Solomon Island:	
Timor Leste	
Australia	
USA	Ms. Janna Shackeloff
ADB	
CI	
TNC	Ms. Ellen Carter (17 May 2010)
WWF	
UNDP	Mr. Jose Padilla
Expert	Dr. Chris Wilcox (CSIRO, Australia)

There are six actions included in seascapes. Target 1 has two actions, and Target 2 has four actions. Models for management of seascape include managing multiple fisheries and coastal development related issues.

Based on discussion about Target 1, Philippines will continue to support SSME, and also have a new seascape, the South China Sea. Target 1 of Seascapes has not been prioritized. The Philippines is in a very early stage of the new seascape and is eyeing other non-CTI countries. The new seascape will assist the Philippines in achieving the goals. Almost all participants agree that Target 1.1 is doable and there are a lot of assessments that have been going on. Target 1.1 is also fundamental for setting the foundation of seascapes, and capacity building should be looking at management planning. Target 1.2 is important in the long run. And because the investment is important, we could make it a model as a first step towards the investment plans and bringing other parties that are out there.

Discussion about capacity building regarding Target 2, there is the issue of inadequate skills. Capacity building can be adopted for the seascapes activity. Capacity building can be done in all aspects. Capacity building is going to be hard to do unless we define what to do first. Based on comments from the participants, Target 2.1 is feasible and doable but low value because there are a lot of models out there, so investing in finding a model is not valuable. Models will lead to a local business plan for all seascapes. This model-building process is going to involve capacity building as well. The model is a compilation of best practices. Start with building a model, with strategies being its outcome. Developing a model incorporates assessment.

Results

Target 2.1 will adopt a general “model” for the sustainable management of seascapes

Strategy/Approach:

- Maximizes common understanding (new concept), builds equity (capacity and investment potential) and sets a foundation among CT6 for future joint work
- Most “regional” step in a process that would include Target 1.1 (assessments) and prepare for Target 1.2 (investment planning).
- Justification; Integrated from the beginning
- Target 1.1 – assessment; will be started in creation of the model using neighbor's experiences.
- Target 1.2 – investment plans; next step.
- Target 2.2 – capacity building; has been integrated into all aspect.
- Target 2.3 – mobilize finances; after T1.2.
- Target 2.4 - monitoring & evaluation; the next thing to do.

Session 2.1. Break Out Session: Seascapes

Facilitator: Dr. Stacey Tighe

The participants of this discussion group were five people representing Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, CSIRO Australia, NOAA US, and UNDP Bangkok. The breakout session began with a question to group members whether anyone had second thoughts about the choice yesterday. While none of the members had second thoughts about the actions that were chosen the day before, the

group members felt it was important to test the regionality as had been reminded in the plenary. Several suggestions of what could be considered regional included the following: in terms of “Seascapes” it might cross ecological boundaries as well as geo-political boundaries; similar model and management and the replicability of the model used in the management; “regionality” might include only two countries working together (sub-regional); the depth of interactions between countries, not the number of countries involved. Another important issue that was discussed was a suggestion that working together might result in the economy of scale. Some responses to this suggestion were as follows: although countries can share expertise, in terms of policy there might be differences; working together is significant in terms of costs and benefits; a concern that having collective actions would allow “free riders” among countries (e.g., countries that receive the benefit but do not contribute payment to the cost of the actions).

From the discussions, it was found that developing a model could be the initial step in managing Seascapes in order to have the same code of conduct between countries. One of the group’s members suggested that there were several things in the model: 1) what do we have, where are the resources, 2) bureaucratic matter on governments working together, and 3) standards and allowable actions.

The group agreed on the following approach/sequence:

Activity 0: Create team of CT6, partner, and friends, mentors to develop TOR, get approvals, manage work stream

- CT6 (SSME teams, BSME teams, ATSEA teams)
- Australia
- USA (NOAA, State Dept.)
- UNDP
- NGOs (SSME teams, BSME teams, ATSEA teams)

Activity 1: consultant/team compilation of models/comparison of models, reviewed by a small team, consulting with countries, design workshop (overseen by high-level technical people from CT6).

Activity 2: National review with consultant as resource, of the model options to prepare for the regional workshop.

Activity 3: Regional workshop to share models, build CTI model framework and seascape workplan (return to country to share, plan, align, apply).

SESSION 2.2. Plenary “Round Robin” Review of Initial Activity Plans

Facilitator: Dr. Stacey Tighe

The “round robin” activity resulted in the following inputs from other groups.

- The activities chosen used a familiar process (like the process of RPOA).
- Concern that the activities would involve a lot of workshops.
- These activities could link to CCA, since CCA is one of the threats to seascapes, thus it is important to manage those threats.
- Suggestion to build on SSME.
- Use vulnerability frames could help identify threats.
- How to link with CTMPAS? Need for liaisons?
- MPA should start with seascapes.
- Objective leads to capacity building in MPA scope.

- Worried LME
- China Sea? Who chooses?
- ADB could be team member

Session 2.3. Breakout Session: Seascapes

Facilitator: Dr. Stacey Tighe

Note taker: Devi

The participants of this discussion group were five people representing Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, CSIRO Australia, NOAA US, and UNDP Bangkok. The second breakout session of the day had the main objective of identifying the crosscutting activities. Defining crosscutting activities is useful for leveraging funds; coordinating on the ground activities/schedules; identifying new efforts to strengthen mechanisms, institutions; identifying ways for others to contribute their efforts; and how to build skills (training) vs. content.

The process of identifying crosscutting activities was for the group members to discuss how several crosscutting themes that had been applied before in different CTI venues would apply to each of the activity. Classes of crosscutting themes that have been applied in other CTI venues, were:

- Capacity building
- Outreach
- Institutional strengthening
- Best practices
- Governance
- Policy/governance/legal
- Enforcement
- Community development
- Community-based resource management
- Community engagement
- Livelihoods
- Gender/Population/Health
- Financing
- Public-private partnership
- Sustainable financing
- Knowledge management/decision support
- Research

Session 3.1 Breakouts: Informal Breakouts by Activities to Develop Proposed Implementation Mechanisms

Goal 1: Priority Seascapes Designated and Effectively Managed

Facilitator: J. Jompa

Note taker: Lia

This session discussed the mechanisms of regional activities. The participants were from Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippine, NOAA-US, UNDP-Bangkok, and CSIRO-Australia. The discussion was conducted by asking every participant about the preferred mechanisms for regional activities of CTI, especially in Goal 1: Priority Seascapes Designated and Effectively Managed.

There were two aspects that had been discussed. First, should it follow SSME mechanisms? Second, will Regional Secretariat be responsible for the regional activities?

Participants agreed that Regional Secretariat can actually carry the regional function in implementing the activities of seascapes. But the Regional Secretariat cannot make any decision in regional activities because it will not have the authority. The Regional Secretariat will be supported by Malaysia

and Philippines as leads to achieve the activity. Coordination is needed in implementing the regional activities, which can be supported by experts, donors, and through the knowledge transfer, etc, from partners. Partners that can support Regional Secretariat include NGO, UNDP, ADB, Australia, NOAA, and CT6 countries. Funding can run over time by the process of activities.

Result:

- Regional Secretariat will play role in regional activities in all aspects.
- Philippines and Malaysia will support Regional Secretariat in implementation activities; including partners from NGO, UNDP, ADB, NOAA, and Australia.

Goal 2 Breakout Session Notes

Session 2.1 Breakout

Facilitator: Dr. Tiene Gunawan (tgunawan@conservation.org)

Notetaker: Nurfitri Syadiah (nurfitri80@gmail.com); M. Yusuf E. B. (yosip2000@gmail.com)

Participants:

Indonesia:	Dr. Harry Christijanto
Malaysia:	Dr. Norasma Dacho
Papua New Guinea:	-
Philippines:	Dr. Jessica Munoz
Solomon Island:	
Timor Leste	Julio da Cruz
Australia	Ceri Teather
USA	Peter Collier
ADB	Gary Vigers
CI	
TNC	Imran Amin, (17 May 2010), Abdul Halim (18 May 2010)
WWF	
Expert	Dr. Geoffrey Muldoon (WWF)

- Objective:**
1. Select regional priorities of Goal #2
 2. Identify and develop regional activities implementation plan
 3. Identify crosscutting regional activities

Format: Structured discussion

Key point of view:
Activities that can be done in 18 months

Monday, 17 May 2010

Results:

1. The session discussed the priority actions selected by each CT6 country during the morning plenary session. There are three actions selected by the group:
 - a. Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration (Goal 2, Target 1, Action 2).
 - b. Collaborate to develop a "common regional framework for legislation and policy" that would support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies, and regulations (Goal 2, Target 1, Action 1)
 - c. Collaborate around technology and information sharing, lessons learned, and joint marketing of common products. (Goal 2, Target 2, Action 3)
2. Discussion on the selections:
 - a. The "regional" criteria
 - b. PNG and Solomon Islands were absent
 - c. The group needs to decide the second priority after hearing PNG and Solomon Islands in the plenary
 - d. Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration (Goal 2, Target 1, Action 2).

- i. Regional importance
 - ii. Each of CT6 countries has IUU related activities underway
 - iii. Partners prioritize this action and already support some of the initiative, sub-regionally, bilaterally
 - iv. The challenge of inequality of capacity and resources that needs to be address in the implementation of activities in this action.
 - v. Issues of IUU on commercial versus inshore fishing. It was agreed that the action should address both
- e. Collaborate to develop a "common regional framework for legislation and policy" that would support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies, and regulations (Goal 2, Target 1, Action 1)
 - i. This action was chosen by PNG, Solomon Islands and the Philippines
 - ii. The action could be translated into regional applications of national framework of EAFM
 - iii. Each of CT6 countries has regulations/policies set for EAFM
- f. Collaborate around technology and information sharing, lessons learned, and joint marketing of common products. (Goal 2, Target 2, Action 3)
 - i. This action is the last of the sequence of Target 2 that cannot be separated from the first and second action
 - ii. The proposal to treat the Target as priority not only priority actions
 - iii. COASTFISH is a Target with broad spectrums of activities such that selection of activities needs to have a specific scope and scale.

18 May 2010

Result:

1. Discussion to select the second priority actions. It was decided that Goal 2 Target 2 (COASTFISH) is considered to have less regional **value**, because it deals only with the coastal communities and has little impact on regional. A notion that COASTFISH is the only target in the RPOA that directly address poverty and food security issue at the community level.
2. The priority actions are:
 - a. **Goal 2, Target 1, Action 2:** Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration
 - b. **Goal 2, Target 1, Action 1:** Collaborate to develop a "common regional framework for legislation and policy" that would support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies, and regulations
3. Considering the importance of COASTFISH target that address poverty and food security directly on coastal communities, the Group decided that the selected priority must include and address COASTFISH spirit.
4. Development of a set of activities:
 - a. **Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration**
 1. During the discussion Group 2 did not have enough information and expert on IUU to decide and develop a full suite of activities to address commercial and inshore fisheries and policy/regulation IUU. This posed difficulties to decide on the specific activities to improve enforcement of IUU fishing in the CT6.
 2. IUU initiatives have already underway at the national level
 3. The willingness of CT6 to address IUU
 4. Partners support for various initiative at regional level
 - ii. **Sequence of Activities**
 1. Identify/use focal point and country lead
 2. Identify appropriate existing regional mechanism on IUU (e.g. ASEAN WEN, RPOA of RFP)
 3. Provide work plan
 4. Compile and review existing work plan/programs
 5. CTI IUU network
 6. Launch CTI IUU Collaborative work plan and endorsement by decision makers
 - iii. **Inputs:**
 1. Decision makers' endorsement for the work plan

2. Should include private sector partner, in particular Tuna issues
3. CTI IUU Network should include capacity development as CT6 capacity is not equal. The network should function as conduit for exchange study, for example.

b. Target 1, Action 1: Collaborate to develop a "common regional framework for legislation and policy" that would support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies, and regulations.

1. Identifying stages of EAF applications over policy and regulations on fisheries in CT6 countries
2. Identify national institutions to support CT research, assessment and learning
3. Defining common understanding on EAF: regionalizing EAF content application to CT6

19 May 2010

Discussion on the Next Steps:

Improve enforcement of IUU Fishing through greater collaboration		
Next step	Responsible	Date
Indonesia will be the lead country for CTI IUU (country champion)	Indonesia NCC	26-May-2010
NOAA will contact CT6 through the regional secretariat	Todd Dubois	26-May-2010
CT6 delegates will notify the NCC	G2 Delegate	26-May-2010
Focal point selected	NCCs	7-June-2010
Focal point works with NOAA	NOAA, Focal points	15-June-2010
IUU Representative (could be the same person with focal person to present their work plan in the Regional exchange on IUU)		
Delegates of CT6 in the G2 group will be kept informed	Todd Dubois, NCC, delegates	ongoing
Regional exchange a core group that will develop further the work plan	Regional exchange participants and NCC point of contact	21-23 July 2010

Goal 3 Breakout Session Notes

SESSION 1.3 BREAKOUT (17 May 2010)

- Introduction
- CT6 to refine list of prioritized actions
- CT6 and partners discuss results and implications for implementation
- Prepare report for plenary

NOTES:**Fedi Sondita, Facilitator**

- We have four delegates from Indonesia, Malaysia, Timor Leste, and Philippines but only observer.
- Timor Leste wants to add one more action on Goal 3
- Our priorities will be action 3 and actions 5, what is the most important for us and why do we propose them?
- Build a consensus, what action is the first priority? Start by deciding what first action we should conduct. Once we decide the action, choose an activity from the menu that will address that action. Action will be developed into activities.
- Do we need extra action and is there a possibility to combine together?
- Timor Leste: Still like it as it is
- Philippines: So far yes, but add some activity related to capacity building something like best practices or lessons learn
- Malaysia: stick on one action
- Indonesia: agreed action number 3 and add more activities same as Philippine.
- Identified what are activities under these actions?
- What kind of activity? Training local government on MPA management in the 3 levels of managing.
- Need common understanding of MPA system.
- We just indentified Gap what is MPA itself? What is CT MPA? We may end up with this definition. We should have common understanding.
- Apply brainstorming approaches training method and design
- Please confirm that we select action number 3 focusing on training program.
- No need for discussion about the definition about MPA. Find another gap besides training.
- What is really the activity for training? We can exercise some key word. Common understanding about effective management. Standardize the procedure or the competency itself? We are agreed that each country has common standard.

Agus Dermawan, Indonesia

Indonesia has identified two priorities activities and derived from three activities that have been setup in national plan in Indonesia:

- Establish and strengthen national system (grand strategy) of MPA integrated into regional and global networks to contribute to meet global agreed goals
- Build capacity and strengthen institution for the planning, establishment and management of MPA
- Evaluate and Improve effective management of national MPA Systems
- From these activities, number 1 and number 2 have high rank because these activities need collective action
- Collective action involving CT6: drives one another and integrated.
- Basic conclusion based on the table that we agree with Goal 3 Action 2 and also support for Goal number 3 -Action 3 for build capacity. And agreed to add the activities put in Action 3
- We should have one understanding; Action number 3 for example has a close relationship with Action number 5 and it is possible to combine these actions.

- Indonesia noted Action number 3 comes first and then Action number 5. Malaysia agreed, Philippine has no problem and Timor Leste also has agreed.
- Indonesia has look to current condition so needs support for local government to manage MPAs. There are 36 districts with established MPAs, and challenge is for Indonesia to increase management of MPA.
- Target participant for training is train local government to be manager of MPA, and designs 3 level method
- Identified ToT, focus training for standardization, module, certification, competency with Target group
- Ministry of Marine Affairs Indonesia has a training center but focusing on fisheries not conservation. So we try to build program to standardize. Indonesian regulations maybe different with other country, we start with this activity, we have core competency, the certification needs to get credit point.

Paul, Malaysia

- Malaysia noted Goal Number 3 action number 1 as regional priorities; Jointly establish overall goals, objectives, principles, and operational design elements for a CTMPAs centered around priority MPA networks.
- Action 1: not highest priority but Malaysia willing to support because this is needed to assist other actions
- To fulfill Goal number 3, effectively managed there is still a weakness in management.
- Malaysia wishes to prioritize transboundary MPAs as already undertaking transboundary work on sea turtles in Philippines
- Malaysia has a sea turtle population and highly migratory that nest in Malaysia waters but transit around in Philippine and Indonesia waters. Need to establish MPA network particularly among 3 countries with working arrangement for sea turtle with Philippine and probably with Indonesia.
- Malaysia noted Target group for training is rangers
- The other countries has so many persons or policy makers, authorities, and specific types of training. Sea turtle conservation program provide training to the ranger.

Lynette Arroya, Philippines

- Philippines choose Actions 5 and 6 as regional priorities
- Add something related to capacity building, part of Action 5 not necessarily a new action but you can add from the action
- Philippines agreed on capacity building but should identify what kind of capacity building should propose, and look to gap identification, develop module for gap analysis so we know how to start when we build training.
- In addition to training, what are management components for establishing MPA? What level of stakeholders, or policy formulation? Example law enforcement, need policy implement in local level. Look to different levels of management. Although we agree to capacity building but we also need to agree to develop specific training.
- Target for 2020 as RPOA we are looking for indicators region-wide. We are going to emphasize the level of training managers and rangers. We can come up on training design. To be straightforward about what we should do.
- Linked, networking, specific training design. But how to come up with the regional design.

Celestino, Timor Leste

- Timor Leste noted Goal 3 Action 5 as regional priority and suggests adding one more action on Goal 3.
- For target participants: maybe different with Malaysia but agreed with Timor Leste, prefers Training for local /district government, and they give information to community level,

Candice Mohan, Australia

- Agreed. Yes Target Action 3 but has to consider Action 1 to mix. Could have to shape. training and learning development.
- Training value should include expanding issue to the wide scope
- Common framework for training process

Alan White, TNC

- Based on the discussion needs particular action, and training is one aspect.
- Missing from discussion: What is System of MPAs?.
- Overall action to achieve effective management,
- Can also link the action number 3 with number 1.
- What is MPAs define in the country?

Scott Atkinson, CI

- Agreed on capacity building in Action 3. Because the country is different audience for training, policy issue, law enforcement designing MPA capacity program.
- Training should applicable across region, the standard Indonesia criteria maybe different with other country, it should have similar step, but the detail may different.
- Indonesia create core competency, but the process could be something that other country need
- Regionally agreed to have core competency; Details maybe vary by country.
- Design process for capacity building program --- option for title activity.
- Identified what work well and not in the MPA , so that we can build and design training.

Annabel, ADB

- Refer to RPOA and suggest the training program for the ct6 country should contribute to ultimate target. It has to be functional, ecological benefit, and sustainable finance.

RESULTS FOR GROUP 3 MPAs Establish and Effectively Managed**Priority Action:**

Build capacity for effective management of the CT MPAs

Reason:

Because is the common problem and major needs among the CT6 Countries

We need to improve management MPAs (i.e effectiveness)

Attainable to short Term (2011)

Notes:

- Activities may contribute towards implementation of other action
- Training program is guided by needs of particular CT6 country
- Common framework for developing capacity building of MPAs (skill, knowledge, policies

procedure) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training program includes training, cross visit, exchange experts, internship, TOT • Philippines will present the results. 		
Note Takers	R. Tomi Supratomo	
	Rini Widayanti	
Observer	M. Zulficar Mochtar	
	Susanto Waluyo	
	Taslim Munir	

NEXT STEPS

TARGET 1; Region-wide Coral Triangle MPA System in Place and Fully Functional

Activities	Responsible	Date
ACTION 1. Jointly establish overall goals, objectives, principles, and operational design elements for a CTMPAS centered around priority MPA networks		
Activity 1. Conduct workshop to establish the goals and operational design for a CTMPAS (Country lead:USCTI)	NOAA, USCTI, TNC, CI, CT6	June 17-19, 2010 (Phuket, Thailand)
NEXT STEPS:		June 1, 2010
Planning for the wshop		
Invite participants		
Activity 2. Develop and manage an information system to track MPAs/ in the CT6 that can be used by the CT6 in planning and development of MPA networks and determine representation of biodiversity/species/ spawning areas etc. in the System (country lead: Philippines)	(Coordination: POC in the Secretariat: CD position; Regional WG: M&E); Country Lead: Philippines	
NEXT Steps	Philippines	June 20-21, 2010
Coordination Meeting with KM Project	NCCCs;	June 2010
Request NCCCs to assign focal points	ADB (part of the KM project); country	On-going
Inventory of existing data bases	Philippines + TNC +WWF +CI	Done
Identify coordinator	TBD	
Workshop		
Activity 3. Conduct a public/private round table forum for building support for the CT		Qtr.3, 2010

MPA System (lead country: Malaysia) NEXT STEPS a. Identify Key partners b. Design the activity c. Coordinate with the USCTI PI	Malaysia, TNC,WWF, PNG Malaysia, TNC,WWF (Coordination: POC for the Secretariat, CD position, prioritize; Regional Working Group, CMWG; Country lead, Malaysia)	
Action 3. Conduct regional workshop/process to review and share capacity building programs/gaps in each country (if funds are available) (Country lead: Indonesia) Inventory of what is working well in each CT6 country National Workshops/process of inventory Institutional network to continue sharing within country/ regional Adaptive Framework for CTI CD Focal point for CD in each country (each country request from NCCC)	CTSP (CI, TNC), Australia, ADB, Australia, TNC, CI, Indonesia CT6, ADB, CTSP, PI, TNC, CI Australia, Indonesia, CI NCCC	September 2010 After the inventory (2011) September 2010 September 2010 Figure out later today

Goal 4 Breakout Session Notes

Summary of Session 1.3

Note takers: Yogi Yanuar, Deenisa

Facilitator	Iwan Gunawan
Indonesia:	Zulhasni, Moestika Panca Dewiani, Wahyu Indraningsih
Malaysia:	Ejria Saleh, Budiasih S, Setyawati
Papua New Guinea:	Yvonne Tio
Philippines:	Luz Teresa Baskinas
Solomon Island:	Nelly Kere, Roselyn G
Timor Leste	none
USA	Britt Parker
ADB	Marissa Garcia
TNC	Egide Cantin
WWF	Jackie Thomas
Expert	Johann Bell

Objectives:

- Completing 3 tasks in this group session:
- Reach consensus on priority actions for Goal 4
- Stock taking of on-going activities from CT6 countries and partners
- Identify potential regional activities

Description of how the results achieved:

- Facilitator gave short explanations about the tasks to be completed in the group session with some limitations on what the discussion should be based on: Mr. Fedi's presentation in the morning plenary session.
- Every and each participant has been given chance to share their list of priorities, opinions, ideas and inputs on the 3 tasks should be completed, started with CT6 countries and partners.
- Results summarized by facilitator

RESULTS

Reach consensus on priority actions for goal 4.

All participants agreed the priorities of Goal No. 4 are:

Target 1 Action 1: Identify the most important and immediate adaptation measures that should be taken across all coral triangle countries, based primarily on analyses using existing models.

Target 1 Action 4: Conduct capacity-needs assessments and develop capacity programs on climate change adaptation measures

Quick stock taking of on-going activities from CT6 countries and partners

Generally all participant countries have already set up their institutions with some pilot projects on-going particularly on issue of climate change impact to the coastal and marine ecosystem and community.

3. Identify potential regional activities.

All participants agreed to propose two main types of activities:

Methodology and Capacity Building

Building Resilience on Climate Change Impact

Summary of Session 2.1

Facilitator : Kitty Courtney

Participants : CT6 Countries minus Timor Leste and partners from ADB, Australia, Canada, USA, Experts (Luz Teresa Baskinas, Zulhasni, Iwan Gunawan, Britt Parker, Johann Bell, Moestika Panca Dewiani, Wahyu Indraningsih, Egide Cantin, Setyawati, Nelly Kere, Yvonne Tio, Roselyn G., Jackie Thomas, Ejria Saleh, Marissa Garcia, FP. Budiasih S.)

Note takers : Muhandis Sidqi, Deenisa

Objectives :

- To identify the objectives of CCA Regional Exchange
- To identify CCA Measures for socio-ecological resilience
- To identify Task sequence

Description of how the results achieved:

- Facilitator give short explanations about the tasks have to be completed in the group session
- Each participants had been given chance to share opinions, ideas and inputs on the three tasks should be completed, started with CT6 countries and partners.
- Results summarized by facilitator

RESULTS

- The objectives of CCA Regional Exchange:
- Gain common understanding vulnerability assessment (VA), social/ecological resilience
- Share existing efforts to conduct VA's resilience be practicing
- Develop a few share indicators to monitors across the region
- Identify tools to apply in each countries
- CCA Measures for socio-ecological resilience

	Future		
Present		-	+
	-	LL	LW
	+	WL	WW

- Task sequences
- Inventory methods/ framework
- Review
- Engaged - other partners, experts
- Learn – id lessons learned
- Apply – methods/approach

Summary of Session 2.3

Facilitator : Kitty Courtney

Participants : CT6 Countries minus Timor Leste and partners from ADB, Australia, Canada, USA, Experts (Luz Teresa Baskinas, Zulhasni, Iwan Gunawan, Britt Parker, Johann Bell, Moestika Panca Dewiani, Wahyu Indraningsih, Egide Cantin, Setyawati, Nelly Kere, Yvonne Tio, Roselyn G., Jackie Thomas, Ejria Saleh, Marissa Garcia, FP. Budiasih S.)

Note takers : Yogi Yanuar, Deenisa

Objectives :

- Review proposed regional activities
- Finding crosscutting theme
- How to conduct the workshop: objectives, deliverables, who, when, participants and duration

Description of how the results were achieved:

- Facilitator give short explanations about the tasks have to be completed in the group session
- Each participant has been given chance to share opinions, ideas and inputs on the 3 tasks should be completed, started with CT6 countries and partners.
- Results summarized by facilitator

RESULTS

Identified two regional exchanges:

- Vulnerability assessment resilience
- Policy framework

Identified crosscutting themes:

Capacity building

- Sharing lessons learned/best practices
- Institutional strengthening
- IEC

Community Development

- Migration
- Diversified livelihoods
- Coastal community land use change

Finance

- Regional exchange could generate opportunities for public-private partnerships - e.g. government with academic institutions
- Generate portfolio of adaptation projects
- Absorption capacity in-country
- Need for good governance for money to flow
- Adaptation measures need to be cost – local and international finance systems
- Funds to support resettlement of communities outside climate impact zones
- Well thought out plan of action then donors will fund

Knowledge Management/Decision Support

- Need for data and information
- GIS applications
- CT Atlas - need to contribute information to it and countries get information out of it.

Completed Regional Activity Template for Regional Exchange on VA+ Resilience:

- Objectives: Regional exchange with government, academic, NGO and other local partners. On vulnerability assessment and socio ecological resilience
- Deliverables:
 - Resilience best practices
 - CCA Tools
 - VA & Resilience Case Studies & Lessons Learned
 - List of Potential PPP
 - Map & Information
 - IEC Materials for Communities
 - Glossary of Terms
 - Harmonized framework
 - Follow up activities/mentoring in country support
 - Portfolio of adaptation projects
- Who: The host of the workshop will be decided in the next SOM on August 2010
- When: First quarter of 2011
- Participants: Each country encourage to recommend important / 'right' people to attend the workshop with about 5 people for each country
- Duration: No more than 5 days

Goal 5 Breakout Session Notes

Facilitator:	Darmawan
Indonesia:	Hery Santoso, Ministry of Forestry (Indonesia)
Malaysia:	
Papua New Guinea:	-
Philippines:	
Solomon Island:	
Timor Leste	
Australia	Travis Bover (Australia)
USA	
ADB	V.Kuperan (Observer from ADB Primex)
CI	Nicole Ester (CI)
TNC	
WWF	Evangelian Arroyo (WWF)
UNDP	
Expert	

SUMMARY OF SESSION 1.3 BREAK OUT

Note takers: Dinah Yunitawati, Djaelani

FORMAT OF DISCUSSION

1. Facilitator gave short explanation about the tasks in the group session
2. Each participant shared their opinions, ideas, and inputs
3. Results summarized by facilitator

OBJECTIVE: *Prioritizing Regional Actions on Goal 5 Threatened Species*

KEY POINTS OF VIEW:

- Regionality (collective action/collective planning/joint action vs. parallel/ad hoc)
- Importance to the region or your country
- Reflected in your NPOA and implementation status
- Feasibility/readiness/sequence of action
- Political support/commitment
- Do we have info to start?
- Financially feasible/funding source known?
- Are there strong mechanisms to implement the action?
- Is anyone willing or able to lead on the action?
- Champion needed

BACKGROUND:

- Goal 5 has only 1 target with 9 Regional Actions
- In developing the RPOA, CT6 has identified specific wild-life as threatened. Therefore action #1 Assess species status by supporting ongoing and new assessment programs is important.
- There are some international institution working specifically on these issues such as IUCN, CITES, etc. Therefore CTI could focus more on direct action toward already identified species in the RPOA
- Action #8 and Action #9 both could be considered as important activities under each specific specie actions

- In proposing priority actions, the group considers the status of “readiness” from each country in relation to each specific species.

RESULT

The result is proposing priority for:

1. Action 3. Complete and implement region-wide Sea Turtles Conservation Action Plan
2. Action 5. Complete and implement region-wide Marine Mammals Conservation Action Plan.

SUMMARY OF SESSION 2.1 BREAK OUT - THREATENED SPECIES

Note taker: Dinah Yunitawati

Facilitator:	Darmawan
Indonesia:	Agus, Ministry of Forestry (Indonesia)
Malaysia:	Prof.Noraieni
Papua New Guinea:	-
Philippines:	
Solomon Island:	
Timor Leste	
Australia	Travis Bover
USA	
ADB	V.Kuperan (Observer from ADB Primex)
CI	Nicole Ester
TNC	
WWF	Evangelian Arroyo
UNDP	
Expert	

OBJECTIVE: *formulating set of activities under the priority action plan*

FORMAT OF DISCUSSION

1. Facilitator gave short explanation about the tasks in the group session
2. Each participant shared their opinions, ideas, and inputs
3. Results summarized by facilitator

BACKGROUND:

- Lack of data and information about sea turtle and dugong in CT6 countries
- It is better to start from the existing activities
- Most of (maybe all) CT6 Countries do not have National Plan of Action of our Priority Species (sea turtle and dugong)

RESULT

The result is a set of activities:

- 1) Data Collection
 - Legal review
 - CT6 country has National Plan of Action for sea turtle and dugong
 - Country working with international partners / institution
 - Best practice
 - Policy workshop
- 2) Data Analysis
- 3) Planning
 - Recommendation for the development of national or regional management plan
- 4) Implementing
 - Getting endorsed for running the activity

SUMMARY OF SESSION 2.3 BREAKOUT - THREATENED SPECIES

Note taker: Dinah Yunitawati

Facilitator:	Darmawan
Indonesia:	Agus, Ministry of Forestry (Indonesia)
Malaysia:	Prof.Noraieni
Papua New Guinea:	-
Philippines:	
Solomon Island:	
Timor Leste	
Australia	Travis Bover
USA	
ADB	V.Kuperan (Observer from ADB Primex)
CI	Nicole Ester
TNC	
WWF	Evangelian Arroyo
UNDP	
Expert	

OBJECTIVE: *Put set of activities into Crosscutting Themes*

FORMAT OF DISCUSSION

1. Facilitator gave short explanation about the tasks in the group session
2. Each participant shared their opinions, ideas, and inputs
3. Results summarized by facilitator

RESULT

1) Activity 1: Collecting information

- Add these ones to the detail of activity: knowledge database, operational support
- How:
 - Email
 - Review existing
 - Talking to key policy makers
 - Hiring an expert
 - Expert committee
 - Focal point
- Crosscutting themes: policy & governance, financing, capacity building, knowledge & management

2) Activity 2: Data Analysis

Crosscutting themes: policy & governance, financing, capacity building, knowledge & management

3) Activity3: Planning

Crosscutting themes: policy & governance, financing, capacity building, knowledge & management, community development

4) Activity 4: Implementing

Crosscutting themes: policy & governance, financing, capacity building, knowledge & management, community development

Group ID: Threatened Species**Dates:**

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions	Guidance
1	Goal #	5	ID number for the Goal in the RPOA
2	Target #	1	ID number for the target in the RPOA
3	Action #	3, 5	ID number for the action in the RPOA
4	Activities 0	CT6 countries task regional Secretariat to ask CT6 to appoint focal points, Create CT6 focal point committee, Identify lead country, Invite partners and friends to design plan	ID number for the activity (your reference)
5	Objective #	Guide to process for developing the plan	Statement of the objective (quantitative)
6	Deliverables?	Formation of group including identification of lead country	Name of measurable deliverables (e.g. products, services)
7	How?	Regional secretariat to coordinate, via emails and direct correspondence	Description of process and inputs to achieve objectives - steps along the road
8	How:	See number 7	Type of activities: (e.g. training, study, etc.)
			Type of inputs: (e.g. equipments, experts, fund, etc)
10	Who?	Regional secretariat and CT6 NCCs, partners, friends	Countries, Partners, third parties involved?
11	When?	End of today for tasking, end of June rest of activities	Quarter 3 and 4 2010; Quarter 1, 2, 3, 4 2011.
12	Where?	CT6 countries	Location of activities
13	Resources?	National support from CT6 for appropriate focal points and lead focal point, as required partner support	Sources + quantity (?)
14	Notes:		ID of related other actions.

Annex 5: Template for Describing Activity Implementation Plans for Priority Actions

Group ID: Seascape/Fisheries Management/MPA/Climate Change/Threatened Species (please circle)

Dates:

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions	Guidance
1	Goal #		ID number for the Goal in the RPOA
2	Target #		ID number for the target in the RPOA
3	Action #		ID number for the action in the RPOA
4	Activity#		ID number for the activity (your reference)
5	Objective with Target		Statement of the objective (quantitative)
6	Deliverables?		Name of measurable deliverables (e.g. products, services)
7	How does this activity fit into larger work stream for this Action?		Phase or role of this activity in set of activities for implementing this Priority Action (Design, Train implementers, Implement, etc.)
8	How? What is the sequence of tasks to complete this activity?		Description of process and inputs to achieve this objective - steps along the road. (Planning Conference calls, contact advisors, design workshop, logistics, etc.)
9	What will we do?		Type of activities: (e.g. training, study, etc.)
10	What inputs do we need?		Type of inputs: (e.g. equipments, data, approvals, experts, etc)
11	Who will do it?		Activity Team: Countries, Partners, third parties involved? Team leader?
12	When?		Quarter 3 and 4 2010; Quarter 1, 2, 3, 4 2011.
13	Where?		Location of activities
14	Resources?		Sources + quantity (?)
15	Notes:		ID of related other actions.

Annex 6: Illustrative Types of Activities for Implementing Priority Actions

Types of Activities

Assessment and Science: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feasibility analysis Baseline study Applied research Economic Analysis Bio physical Surveys Social science (gender, culture, etc) 	Science & Research <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Studies Compilation of info Applied research Assessments Consultant analysis Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planning: Building a team Stakeholder analysis Scoping, defining issue Development of options Review and stakeholder inputs, consensus building Adoption 	Training/Capacity Building <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Short term training (skill, certificate) Long term training (certificate, degree) Training of Trainers Internships Scholarships Professional Exchanges Study tours Mentor programs Twin/sister/brother programs Job shadowing
Implementation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conduct patrols Conduct surveys Provide services Manage Sites Manage people, access Build, re-habilitate 	IEC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seminar Awareness campaign Public service announcement Social marketing Exhibitions School programs, Teacher Training Website creation/portals Brochures, publications
Review and Monitor <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey Analyze Interpret Report 	LEGAL/POLICY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Legal review and analysis Rational /justification review Legal drafting, hearings Policy Forums Expert consultants for specific laws
Manage <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administration Coordination meetings Executive oversight Reporting 	Knowledge Management <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify info needed Collect information Define storage and access of info User friendly portals Train/build awareness of how to use Manage data, archive as needed Develop decision support system

Annex 7: Outline for the First CTI Annual Report

June 2009-May 2010

Outline (Draft from 18 May 2010)

Preface

Acknowledgement

Acronyms

Executive Summary

Background

- Preparing the Coral Triangle Initiative
- Regional Plan of Action
- Prior Key Meetings and Events in the CTI (1 paragraph each)
 - SOM-1, Bali, Dec 2007
 - SOM-2, Manila
 - SOM-3 and Ministerial 1, Port Moresby, Mar 2008
 - CTI Summit and Ministerial 2, May 2009
 - SOM-4, Kota Kinabalu, Oct 2009
 - SOM-5 and Ministerial 3, Gizo, Nov 2009
 - Road Map from Gizo

National Progress on CTI

- Indonesia NPOA and highlights of activities
- Malaysia NPOA and highlights of activities
- Papua New Guinea NPOA and highlights of activities
- Philippines NPOA and highlights of activities
- Solomon Islands NPOA and highlights of activities
- Timor Leste NPOA and highlights of activities

Regional Progress on CTI

- CTI Regional Institution(s)
- Establishment of the Permanent Regional Secretariat
- Development of Sustainable Financing Strategy and Mechanisms
- Development of SMART Targets and Protocols for Regional Priority Actions and Coordination Workshop

Progress on the Five CTI Goals

- CTI Regional Priority Actions and Coordination Workshop
- Seascapes
- EAFM
- MPA
- CCA
- Threatened Species
- Major Events or Programs
- EAFM Regional Exchange
- Business Summit
- Climate Change Inputs to Copenhagen
- Other Key Milestones

Report from the CTI Partners

- Partner Coordination Mechanism(s)
- Major Programs from Partners
- ADB
- Australia
- USA
- CI

TNC
WWF
Others

Future Activities for 2010-2011

Seascapes
EAFM
Fisheries Leadership Training
IUU joint training curriculum development
EAFM Policy Framework Development
Live Reef Food Fish Trade Policy Coordination
MPAs
MPA Network and Operations Design Workshop
MPA Management Effectiveness Training

CCA

CCA US-based Training Course (In June-include?
CCA Policy Coordination Workshop
CCA Implementers Regional Exchange
Threatened Species

Challenges for the CTI

Next Steps for the CTI

Codifying all NPOA
Complete transition to functional Permanent Regional Secretariat
Adding services to the Secretariat (website, portal for data management)

Comments from CTI Family

Ministers/SOMs
Partners
Friends

ANNEX 8: IMPLEMENTING PLANS FOR CTI REGIONAL PRIORITY ACTIONS (BY GOAL, AND ACTION)

Implementing Plan Template

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions	Guidance
1	Goal #		ID number for the Goal in the RPOA
2	Target #		ID number for the target in the RPOA
3	Action #		ID number for the action in the RPOA
4	Activity#		ID number for the activity (your reference)
5	Objective with Target		Statement of the objective (quantitative)
6	Deliverables?		Name of measurable deliverables (e.g. products, services)
7	How does this activity fit into larger work stream for this Action?		Phase or role of this activity in set of activities for implementing this Priority Action (Design, Train implementers, Implement, etc.)
8	How? What is the sequence of tasks to complete this activity?		Description of process and inputs to achieve this objective - steps along the road. (Planning Conference calls, contact advisors, design workshop, logistics, etc.)
9	What will we do?		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type of activities: (e.g. training, study, etc.)
10	What inputs do we need?		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type of inputs: (e.g. equipments, data, approvals, experts, etc)
11	Who will do it?		Activity Team: Countries, Partners, third parties involved? Team leader?
12	When?		Quarter 3 and 4 2010; Quarter 1, 2, 3, 4 2011.
13	Where?		Location of activities
14	Resources?		Sources + quantity (?)
15	Notes:		ID of related other actions.

Group ID: Seascapes

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 1	"Priority Seascapes" Designed and Effectively Managed
2	Target # 2	Marine and Coastal Resources within All "Priority Seascapes" are Being Sustainably Managed
3	Action # 1	Adopt a general "model" for the sustainable management of seascapes.
4	Activity# 1	Create CT6, partner and friends team, get approvals and manage work stream
5	Objective with Target	Guide the process of developing the framework
6	Deliverables?	Appointment of technical team Engagement of consultant Develop consultant TOR Develop overall process
7	How does this activity fit into larger work stream for this Action?	This would be the "umbrella"
8	How? What is the sequence of tasks to complete this activity?	Develop the TOR Follow up meeting with national governments to get approval of TOR Appoint body to engage consultant Ongoing meeting by phone with consultant and face-to-face meetings between rep & tech rep
9	What will we do?	Initial meeting to develop TOR Drafting the TOR Managing the workshop
10	What inputs do we need?	
11	Who will do it?	National experts, consulting team, literature, input from CTI countries & partners, and other groups with relevant exposure
12	When?	Quarter 3, 2010
13	Where?	In-person meeting to get overall TOR, possibly in this meeting, national meetings, 1 online meeting to develop consultant TOR
14	Resources?	National support for senior rep and technical member, funding for consultant
15	Notes:	

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 1	"Priority Seascapes" Designed and Effectively Managed
2	Target # 2	Marine and Coastal Resources within All "Priority Seascapes" are Being Sustainably Managed
3	Action # 1	Adopt a general "model" for the sustainable management of seascapes.
4	Activity# 2	Consultant/team compilation/comparative review of models, reviewed by team, overseen by high-level tech folks from CT6
5	Objective with Target	Conduct a comparative review of seascape (LME) models to inform CTI seascape model design. Review of models by team and with input from decision makers to ensure buy-in of model.
6	Deliverables?	. Report (review of models) completed . Assessment of report by team completed . Briefing of decision makers completed
7	How does this activity fit into larger	This is the basic reference material of models and decision options to guide the process that creates the framework accepted, and as a guide

	work stream for this Action?	to future seascape development
8	How? What is the sequence of tasks to complete this activity?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> . Write TOR for consultant . Hire consultant, Consultant drafts doc with inputs . Team members to review and comment on the model review/compilation . Political action strategy developed or implements to brief decision makers about seascapes
9	What will we do?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Conference calls and review of document -Face-to-face meetings about seascape -Communicate inputs about CTI to consultant
10	What inputs do we need?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> . Experts . Consultant funding . Travel funding for meetings
11	Who will do it?	3 Seascope teams (SSME, BSME, ATSEA) Technical experts from CT6 Consultant
12	When?	2010 Q3 and Q4
13	Where?	
14	Resources?	
15	Notes:	

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 1	"Priority Seascapes" Designed and Effectively Managed
2	Target # 2	Marine and Coastal Resources within All "Priority Seascapes" are Being Sustainably Managed
3	Action # 1	Adopt a general "model" for the sustainable management of seascapes.
4	Activity# 3	National Consultations of Draft Seascope Model
5	Objective with Target	National Consultation/Review, with consultant as resource, of the model option to prepare for regional workshop
6	Deliverables?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -6 national workshops -National vetted inputs into regional meeting -A complete model with refined options for Regional discussions
7	How does this activity fit into larger work stream for this Action?	This builds the national capacity to respond to model and choose wisely at regional meeting, and builds awareness at national level with a larger audience of decision makers and implementers of a seascope program
8	How? What is the sequence of tasks to complete this activity?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Presentation of blueprint with consultant, NCC, and national expert as resource persons -NCC prepares national meetings -Stakeholders are involved and consulted -National feedback on review
9	What will we do?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Presentations session with decision makers -Convincing on the proposal
10	What inputs do we need?	-Activities related, involvement level of participants and resources (funds) required
11	Who will do it?	CT6-led, through NCC and their Seascapes Experts
12	When?	2011 Q2
13	Where?	TBD
14	Resources?	NOAA/AUS/US
15	Notes:	

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 1	"Priority Seascapes" Designed and Effectively Managed
2	Target # 2	Marine and Coastal Resources within All "Priority Seascapes" are Being Sustainably Managed
3	Action # 1	Adopt a general "model" for the sustainable management of seascapes.
4	Activity# 4	Regional Workshop to Finalize Seascape Model for CTI
5	Objective with Target	Build CTI model framework and seascape work plan
6	Deliverables?	Regional and country seascape work plan Accepted v1. Guide to Model for developing and managing seascapes
7	How does this activity fit into larger work stream for this Action?	This is the final decision making and closure for first CTI seascape model
8	How? What is the sequence of tasks to complete this activity?	Presentation of CTI Seascape model and options Discussion on the options Final selection of set of options for Model
9	What will we do?	Regional Workshop
10	What inputs do we need?	Consultant Country Reps Existing Seascape teams Event funding
11	Who will do it?	Same team as activity 0.
12	When?	2011 last quarter
13	Where?	TBD (CT6 country)
14	Resources?	? Maybe NOAA, USG, Aus
15	Notes:	

Group ID: Fisheries Management

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 2	Ecosystem Approach to Management of Fisheries (EAFM) and Other Marine Resources Fully Applied
2	Target # 1	Strong legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)
3	Action # 2	Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration.
4	Activity # 1	Identify/use focal point and lead person for IUU activities in each countries
5	Objective #	
6	Deliverables	Directory of expert Directory of existing network Succinct, clear request to NCC
7	How	
8	What	
10	Who	Regional Secretariat asks the NCC to send a lead contact Regional Secretariat asks partners to send a lead contact
11	When	26 May 2010
12	Where	Regional Secretariat (Jakarta)
13	Resources	NCC, Regional Secretariat
14	Notes:	

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 2	Ecosystem Approach to Management of Fisheries (EAFM) and Other Marine Resources Fully Applied
2	Target # 1	Strong legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)
3	Action # 2	Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration.
4	Activity # #2	Identify appropriate existing regional mechanism on IUU (e.g. ASEAN WEN, RPOA of RFP)
5	Objective #	
6	Deliverables	
7	How	
10	Who	Focal points with partners assistance
11	When	15 June 2010
12	Where	CT 6 Countries
13	Resources	NCC, Partners
14	Notes:	

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 2	Ecosystem Approach to Management of Fisheries (EAFM) and Other Marine Resources Fully Applied
2	Target # 1	Strong legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)
3	Action # 2	Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration.
4	Activity# 3	Point of contact provide existing work plans
5	Objective #	
6	Deliverables	
7	How	
8	What	
10	Who	Focal points with partners' assistance
11	When	15 June 2010
12	Where	CT 6 Countries
13	Resources	NCC, Partners
14	Notes:	Related to Activity No. 4

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 2	Ecosystem Approach to Management of Fisheries (EAFM) and Other Marine Resources Fully Applied
2	Target # 1	Strong legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)
3	Action # 2	Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration.
4	Activity# 4	Compile and review existing work plan/programs
5	Objective #	
6	Deliverables	
7	How	
8	What	Review, study Partners will bring experts for input
10	Who	Focal points with partners' assistance.
11	When	15 July 2010
12	Where	CT 6 Countries
13	Resources	NCC, Partners
14	Notes:	Related to Activity No. 3

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 2	Ecosystem Approach to Management of Fisheries (EAFM) and Other Marine Resources Fully Applied
2	Target # 1	Strong legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)
3	Action # 2	Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration.
4	Activity# 5	CTI IUU network
5	Objective #	
6	Deliverables	
7	How	
8	What	Review, study

10	Who	Focal points with partners' assistance
11	When	15 July 2010
12	Where	CT 6 Countries
13	Resources	NCC, Partners
14	Notes:	Related to Activity No.

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 2	Ecosystem Approach to Management of Fisheries (EAFM) and Other Marine Resources Fully Applied
2	Target # 1	Strong legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)
3	Action # 2	Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration.
4	Activity # 6	Expert and point of contact workshop for the focal point to agree on the work plan
5	Objective #	
6	Deliverables	
7	How	
8	What	Workshop, study
10	Who	Focal points with partners' assistance
11	When	21-23 July 2010
12	Where	To be determined in CT 6 Countries
13	Resources	NCC, Partners
14	Notes:	

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 2	Ecosystem Approach to Management of Fisheries (EAFM) and Other Marine Resources Fully Applied
2	Target # 1	Strong legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)
3	Action # 2	Improve enforcement of IUU fishing through greater collaboration.
4	Activity# 7	Launch CTI IUU collaborative work plan and endorsement by decision makers of CT6
5	Objective #	
6	Deliverables	
7	How	
8	What	Review, study
10	Who	Focal points with partners assistance, Regional Secretariat to coordinate
11	When	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - One day prior to the Ministerial Meeting in August 2010 (Sail Banda event) for the launching of CTI IUU collaborative work plan - Endorsement during the CTI Ministerial Meeting in August 2010
12	Where	Indonesia
13	Resources	NCC, Partners, Regional Secretariat
14	Notes:	Related to Activity No.

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 2	Ecosystem Approach to Management of Fisheries (EAFM) and Other Marine Resources Fully Applied
2	Target #	Strong legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving

	1	an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)
3	Action # 1	Collaborate to develop a "common regional framework for legislation and policy" that would support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies, and regulations
4	Activities# 1	Identifying stages of EAF applications over policy and regulations on fisheries in CT6 countries
5	Objective #	
6	Deliverables	
7	How	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Start in country (26 May 2010) - Develop Term of Reference (26 May 2010) - Review similar project applying EAFM (15 October 2010) - Review policies and legislation related to EAFM (15 October 2010) - Person who goes URI for EAFM training will preferably be the focal point (26 May 2010) - URI course participants draft strategy to apply the learning of the EAFM (15 Sept 2010)
8	What	Assistance from partners
10	Who	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NCC - Focal persons with national partners' assistance for in-country activities
11	When	See how
12	Where	In-country CT 6
13	Resources	NCC, nationals
14	Notes:	

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 2	Ecosystem Approach to Management of Fisheries (EAFM) and Other Marine Resources Fully Applied
2	Target # 1	Strong legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)
3	Action # 1	Collaborate to develop a "common regional framework for legislation and policy" that would support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies, and regulations
4	Activity # 2	Identify national institutions to support CT research, assessment and learning
5	Objective #	
6	Deliverables	
7	How	
8	What	
10	Who	Focal points with partners' support
11	When	30 June 2010
12	Where	In-country CT6
13	Resources	NCC, Partners
14	Notes:	

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 2	Ecosystem Approach to Management of Fisheries (EAFM) and Other Marine Resources Fully Applied
2	Target # 1	Strong legislative, policy and regulatory frameworks in place for achieving an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EAFM)
3	Action # 1	Collaborate to develop a "common regional framework for legislation and policy" that would support EAFM; drawing on this, strengthen regional and national legislation, policies, and regulations
4	Activity # 3	Defining common understanding on EAF: regionalizing EAF content applicable to CT6
5	Objective #	
6	Deliverables	
7	How	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Meeting of the focal points and partners - Produce draft/framework for regional work plan - Set up work plan/Roadmap to CT6 EAFM Framework
8	What	Regional secretariat to coordinate Expert input for the workshop to produce framework for regional work plan
10	Who	Focal points with the support from partners
11	When	16 – 18 November 2010
12	Where	To be determined, in CT6 countries
13	Resources	NCC, Partners
14	Notes:	

Group ID: MPA

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 3	Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) Established and Effectively Managed
2	Target 1	Region-wide Coral Triangle MPA System in Place and Fully Functional
3	Action 1	Jointly establish overall goals, objectives, principles, and operational design elements for a CTMPAS centered around priority MPA networks
4	Activities 1	Conduct workshop to establish the goals and operational design for a CTMPAS (CTI regional exchange workshop June 17-19—Phuket)
5	Objective #	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To define common understanding of the CTMPA System; 2. Identify members of a potential MPA System institutional network [working group...?] and determine institution/country as focal point to this activity 3. To develop a draft of framework for CTMPAS design 4. Plan continuing activities to develop CTMPAS and for how to track CTMPAS through an information system
6	Deliverables?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A common understanding, overall objectives and components of CTMPAS; 2. A road map to establish CTMPAs; 3. A strategy to ensure contribution of national MPA to CTMPAS; 4. A draft of framework for CTMPAs 5. Information system in place to support CTMPAS
7	How?	National workshops Regional workshops CT6 focal points Collaboration between regional scientists and CT6

8	What	Workshop and follow-up with CT6
		Experts, funding, workshop materials; funds
10	Who?	USCTI*+ Focal Points (Alan W. (TNC), Scott A. (CI), Ann Walton (NOAA) + CT6
11	When?	June 17-19, 2010
12	Where?	Phuket, Thailand
13	Resources?	USCTI; CT6
14	Notes:	In association with the Asia Pacific Coral Reef Symposium (June 20-24, 2010)

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal #3	Marine Protected Areas in Coral Triangle
2	Target # 1	Region-wide Coral Triangle MPA System in Place and Fully Functional
3	Action # 1	Jointly establish overall goals, objectives, principles, and operational design elements for a CTMPAS centered around priority MPA networks
4	Activity 2	Develop and manage an information system to track MPAs/ in the CT6 that can be used by the CT6 in planning and development of MPA networks and determine representation of critical habitat and species in the system
5	Objective #	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CTI region-wide information management system, with spatial and non-spatial databases on MPAs is created to support the CTMPA 2. Build on existing information system through the Coral Triangle Atlas hosted by the World Fish Center 3. Provide a means to track progress in the CTI 4. Provide a means to do spatial analysis towards a regional MPA System
6	Deliverables?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Design and identify initial components of the spatial and non-spatial database 2. Presentation of the information to CT6 / Launch 3. Outputs generated from the databases such as reports, maps. Etc.
7	How?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Confirm status of existing information system through the Coral Triangle Atlas b. Coordinate project with the knowledge management project of the ADB c. Request NCCs to assign focal points for information access and sharing in government d. Inventory existing data bases and information e. Identify coordinator—Philippines f. Conduct national level and a regional workshop
8	What?	Follow the steps above to create and maintain an information system
		Experts, funding, facilitators, CT6, host institution
10	Who?	TNC*+WWF + WorldFish + ADB + CT6
11	When?	Database development is on-going Workshop to be conducted in 1 st quarter of 2011 Launch of the information system during a CTI regional meeting, 3 rd quarter of 2011
12	Where?	Jakarta, Indonesia (for both workshop and launch). Database development is offsite, CT6 countries contributing data
13	Resources?	Experts, funding, data and information, maps, equipment and software
14	Notes:	

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 3	Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) Established and Effectively Managed
2	Target #	Region-wide Coral Triangle MPA System in Place and Fully Functional

	1	
3	Action # 1	Jointly establish overall goals, objectives, principles, and operational design elements for a CTMPAS centered around priority MPA networks
4	Activity # 3	Conduct a public/private round table forum for building support for the CT MPA System (2nd Qtr. 2011)
5	Objectives	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify possible partners from the private/business sector that can provide support to CTMPAS; 2. Create/Establish working group and focal point, involving the private/business sector to help mobilize new private/business sector financial and in-kind support; 3. Promote industry best practices in supporting MPAs.
6	Deliverables?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Best practices 2. Statement of support 3. Working Group created with focal point identified 4. Tangible support for CTMPAS
7	How?	To invite the CT6, partners, experts, private/business sector to a RTF; CT6 and private/business sector share experiences/models on efforts of each CT6 and private/business sector in support to MPAs. Key steps will include: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> d. Identify key partners e. Design the activity f. Coordinate with the USCTI PI
8	What?	Forum
		Experts, funds
10	Who?	CT6, partners, private/business sector, experts
11	When?	Quarter 4, 2010
12	Where?	Malaysia?
13	Resources?	Contact information of public and private partners, experts and forum facilitator, funding
14	Notes:	

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal # 3	Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) Established and Effectively Managed
2	Target # 1	Region-wide Coral Triangle MPA System in Place and Fully Functional
3	Action # 3	Build Capacity for Effective Management of the CTMPAS
4	Activities # 1	Conduct regional workshop to review and share capacity building programs/gaps in each country
5	Objective #	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify capacity building programs and institutions on CTMPAS in the CTI; 2. Determine models / training modules working in each country; 3. Develop a Framework Plan for capacity building on CTMPAS; 4. Plan a systematic capacity building approach/program that can be applied and adapted within each country as appropriate
6	Deliverables?	Inventory of training programs and institutions in the CTI; Framework Plan for CTMPAS
7	How?	National Workshops in each country followed by a regional workshop with the following steps: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inventory of what is working well in each CT6 country 2. National Workshops/process of inventory 3. Institutional network to continue sharing within country/regional 4. Adaptive Framework for CTI CD 5. Focal point for CD in each country (each country request from

		NCCC)
8	What?	Inventory and workshops
		Experts, funding
10	Who?	Indonesia lead, CT6, CTSP (TNC, CI), Australia experts
11	When?	Quarter 3, 2011
12	Where?	Philippines/Indonesia/Malaysia
13	Resources?	CTSP and Australia
14	Notes:	Depends on funding through CTSP and Australia

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal #3	Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) Established and Effectively Managed
2	Target 1#	Jointly establish overall goals, objectives, principles, and operational design elements for a CTMPAS centered around priority MPA networks
3	Action #3	Build Capacity for effective management of the CTMPAS.
4	Activities 2	Inventory of what is working in each country in terms of training/training modules etc.
5	Objective #	
6	Deliverables?	
7	How?	
8	What	
10	Who?	NGOs + CT6
11	When?	
12	Where?	In each country
13	Resources?	
14	Notes:	

No.	Plan Items	Statements/Descriptions
1	Goal #3	Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) Established and Effectively Managed
2	Target 1#	Region-Wide Coral Triangle MPA System (CTMAPS) in Place and Fully Functional
3	Action #3	Build Capacity for effective management of the CTMPAS.
4	Activities 3	Plan a systematic capacity building approach/program that can be applied and adapted within each country as appropriate
5	Objective #	
6	Deliverables?	
7	How?	
8	What	
10	Who?	NGOs + CT6
11	When?	
12	Where?	Australia
13	Resources?	
14	Notes:	

Group ID: Climate Change

Goal 4	Climate Change Adaptation Measures Achieved
Target 1	Region-Wide Early Action Plan
Action 1	Identify adaptation measures to be taken across all CT countries
Activity 1	CCA Regional Exchange

Objective	By Q1 FY 11 complete regional exchange with government, academic
Deliverable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • VA and resilience case studies and Lessons Learned • Harmonized framework for VA and resilience • Resilience best practices • CCA tools • Potential PPPs • Maps (CT Atlas) • IEC materials • Portfolio of CCA projects Actions and possible funding
How?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inventory of framework, methods, and tools for VA and resilience • Review inventory and identify lessons learned and resource requirements to apply tools • Identify opportunities and engage partners • Identify participants/organizations • Develop regional exchange design • Conduct regional exchange • Follow on in-country support for national roll-out (small grants, workshops)
What?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5-day Regional Exchange hosted by 1 country
Who?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify CT country host for the regional exchange during SOM • US CTI Support Program (PI, NOAA, CTSP) for technical, logistical, and funding support • Engage other partners in the region
When?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Q1 2011 • Not more than five days
Where?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TBD
Resources?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TBD
Participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 per country • Government – fisheries, environment

Goal 4	Climate Change Adaptation Measures Achieved
Target 1	Region-Wide Early Action Plan
Action 3	Complete and implement a Region-wide Early Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation
Activity 1	Climate Change/CCA Policy Regional Exchange
Objective	By June 2010, conduct a regional policy exchange on CC/CCA policies and priorities and inform climate negotiation teams in COP in December, Mexico
Deliverable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compilation and review of CC/CCA policies, existing initiatives, and projects of CT6 countries • Framework for Region-wide Early Action Plan for CCA • Guidance for accessing financing for CCA • Common messaging for climate negotiations on ocean impacts
How?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inventory of policies, initiatives, and projects from each CT6 country • Identify participants and send invitations • Identify experts • Develop program
What?	3 – 5 day workshop hosted by Solomon Islands
Who?	Solomon Islands US CTI Support Program (PI, NOAA, CTSP) for technical, logistical, and funding support Engage other partners in the region
When?	June 28 – 30, 2010
Where?	Solomon Islands
Resources?	US CTI Support Program

Goal # 4	Climate Change Adaptation Measures Achieved
Target # 1	Region-Wide Early Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation for The Near-Shore Marine and Coastal Environment and Small Island Ecosystem Developed and Implemented
Action # 3	Complete and implement a Region-wide Early Action Plan for Climate Change Adaptation.
Activity # 1	Conduct regional policy framework workshop
Objective	
Deliverable	
How?	
What?	
Who?	
When?	
Where?	
Resources?	

Group ID: Threatened Species

Goal 5	Threatened Species Status Improving
Target	1: Improved status of sharks, sea turtles, seabirds, marine mammals, corals, seagrass, mangroves and other identified threatened species
Action	3. Complete and implement region-wide Sea Turtle Conservation Plan
Activity	1. Information gathering/compilation
Objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To understand CT6 legal standing on Sea Turtle conservation management To recognize level of management efforts in CT6 To comprehend institutional capacity as well as human resources To stock-taking available research related to the species To understand existing commitment and on-going projects
Deliverable	Region-wide Sea Turtle Conservation Plan
How	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Legal document/legal review Information on knowledge management status Enforcement effort Biological aspect (nesting sites, migration pattern, life-cycle, etc) Overall management effort (inside-outside protected areas), incl. M&E Available researches Human resources competency Institutional capacity and capability Trade and custom Existing projects/program International commitments
What	
Who	
When	
Where	
Resources	

Goal 5	Threatened Species Status Improving
Target	1: Improved status of sharks, sea turtles, seabirds, marine mammals, corals, seagrass, mangroves and other identified threatened species
Action	3 Complete and implement region-wide Sea Turtle Conservation Plan
Activity	2. Information analysis/assessment/ review
Objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identified training needs Identified research needs Identified management gap Identified policy needs Identified overall capacity building program Identified supporting data base needs Determine budget and sources
Deliverable	Region-wide Sea Turtle Conservation Plan
How	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Legal drafting/revision Identified information/action gap Institution and human resource analysis Training needs assessment Research need assessment Management gap analysis Knowledge data base assessment Operational support Budget analysis

What	
Who	
When	
Where	
Resources	

Goal 5	Threatened Species Status Improving
Target	1: Improved status of sharks, sea turtles, seabirds, marine mammals, corals, seagrass, mangroves and other identified threatened species
Action	3 Complete and implement region-wide Sea Turtle Conservation Plan
Activity	2. Develop Management and Action Plan
Objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommend management plan Endorsement of management plan in national and regional level
Deliverable	Region-wide Sea Turtle Conservation Plan
How	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop policy recommendation Develop management options Develop financial plan Endorsement/national adoption Endorsement/regional adoption
What	
Who	
When	
Where	
Resources	

Goal 5	Threatened Species Status Improving
Target	1: Improved status of sharks, sea turtles, seabirds, marine mammals, corals, seagrass, mangroves and other identified threatened species
Action	3 Complete and implement region-wide Sea Turtle Conservation Plan
Activity	3. Implementation and Action
Objective	
Deliverable	Region-wide Sea Turtle Conservation Plan
How	
What	
Who	
When	
Where	
Resources	

Goal 5	Threatened Species Status Improving
Target	1: Improved status of sharks, sea turtles, seabirds, marine mammals, corals, seagrass, mangroves and other identified threatened species
Action	5. Complete and implement region-wide marine Mammals Conservation Plan
Activity	1. Information gathering/compilation
Objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To understand CT6 legal standing on Marine Mammal conservation management To recognize level of management efforts in CT6

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To comprehend institutional capacity as well as human resources • To stock-taking available research related to the species • To understand existing commitment and on-going projects
Deliverable	Region-wide Marine Mammal Conservation Plan
How	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Legal document/legal review • Information on knowledge management status • Enforcement effort • Biological aspect (birthing sites, migration pattern, life-cycle, etc) • Overall management effort (inside-outside protected areas), incl. M&E • Available researches • Human resources competency • Institutional capacity and capability • Trade and custom • Existing projects/program • International commitments
What	
Who	
When	
Where	
Resources	

Goal 5	Threatened Species Status Improving
Target	1: Improved status of sharks, sea turtles, seabirds, marine mammals, corals, seagrass, mangroves and other identified threatened species
Action	5 Complete and implement region-wide marine Mammals Conservation Plan
Activity	2. Information analysis/assessment/ review
Objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identified training needs • Identified research needs • Identified management gap • Identified policy needs • Identified overall capacity building program • Identified supporting data base needs • Determine budget and sources
Deliverable	Region-wide Marine Mammal Conservation Plan
How	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Legal drafting/revision • Identified information/action gap • Institution and human resource analysis • Training needs assessment • Research need assessment • Management gap analysis • Knowledge data base assessment • Operational support • Budget analysis
What	
Who	
When	
Where	
Resources	

Goal 5	Threatened Species Status Improving
Target	1: Improved status of sharks, sea turtles, seabirds, marine mammals, corals, seagrass,

	mangroves and other identified threatened species
Action	5 Complete and implement region-wide marine Mammals Conservation Plan
Activity	3. Develop Management and Action Plan
Objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommend management plan Endorsement of management plan in national and regional level
Deliverable	Region-wide Marine Mammal Conservation Plan
How	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop policy recommendation Develop management options Develop financial plan Endorsement/national adoption Endorsement/regional adoption
What	
Who	
When	
Where	
Resources	

Goal 5	Threatened Species Status Improving
Target	1: Improved status of sharks, sea turtles, seabirds, marine mammals, corals, seagrass, mangroves and other identified threatened species
Action	5 Complete and implement region-wide marine Mammals Conservation Plan
Activity	4. Implementation and Action
Objective	
Deliverable	Region-wide Marine Mammal Conservation Plan
How	
What	
Who	
When	
Where	
Resources	